

# Peri-implantitis

Frank Schwarz<sup>1\*</sup> | Jan Derks<sup>2\*</sup> | Alberto Monje<sup>3,4</sup> | Hom-Lay Wang<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral Surgery and Implantology, Carolinum, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-University Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Periodontology, Institute of Odontology, The Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Department of Oral Surgery and Stomatology, ZMK School of Dentistry, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of Dentistry, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

## Correspondence

Univ. Prof. Dr. Frank Schwarz, Department of Oral Surgery and Implantology, Carolinum, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-University Frankfurt, 60596 Frankfurt, Germany.  
Email: f.schwarz@med.uni-frankfurt.de

\*Frank Schwarz and Jan Derks equally contributed to the manuscript and are considered joint first authors.

The proceedings of the workshop were jointly and simultaneously published in the *Journal of Periodontology* and *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*.

## Abstract

**Objectives:** This narrative review provides an evidence-based overview on peri-implantitis for the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions.

**Methods:** A literature review was conducted addressing the following topics: 1) definition of peri-implantitis; 2) conversion from peri-implant mucositis to peri-implantitis; 3) onset and pattern of disease progression; 4) characteristics of peri-implantitis; 5) risk factors/indicators for peri-implantitis; and 6) progressive crestal bone loss in the absence of soft tissue inflammation.

## Conclusions:

- 1) Peri-implantitis is a pathological condition occurring in tissues around dental implants, characterized by inflammation in the peri-implant connective tissue and progressive loss of supporting bone.
- 2) The histopathologic and clinical conditions leading to the conversion from peri-implant mucositis to peri-implantitis are not completely understood.
- 3) The onset of peri-implantitis may occur early during follow-up and the disease progresses in a non-linear and accelerating pattern.
- 4a) Peri-implantitis sites exhibit clinical signs of inflammation and increased probing depths compared to baseline measurements.
- 4b) At the histologic level, compared to periodontitis sites, peri-implantitis sites often have larger inflammatory lesions.
- 4c) Surgical entry at peri-implantitis sites often reveals a circumferential pattern of bone loss.
- 5a) There is strong evidence that there is an increased risk of developing peri-implantitis in patients who have a history of chronic periodontitis, poor plaque control skills, and no regular maintenance care after implant therapy. Data identifying “smoking” and “diabetes” as potential risk factors/indicators for peri-implantitis are inconclusive.
- 5b) There is some limited evidence linking peri-implantitis to other factors such as: post-restorative presence of submucosal cement, lack of peri-implant keratinized mucosa and positioning of implants that make it difficult to perform oral hygiene and maintenance.
- 6) Evidence suggests that progressive crestal bone loss around implants in the absence of clinical signs of soft tissue inflammation is a rare event.

## KEYWORDS

diagnosis, implantology, peri-implantitis, systematic reviews and evidence-based medicine

## INTRODUCTION

Biological complications affecting osseointegrated implants are a topic of major interest in contemporary dentistry. Such complications mainly refer to inflammatory conditions associated with a bacterial challenge.<sup>1–3</sup> Two clinical varieties may be distinguished: peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis. While the presence of an inflammatory lesion is a feature both conditions have in common, only the latter form presents with loss of supporting bone.<sup>4</sup> It is anticipated that mucositis precedes peri-implantitis.<sup>3</sup>

This review addresses the following topics: 1) definition of peri-implantitis; 2) conversion from peri-implant mucositis to peri-implantitis, 3) onset and pattern of disease progression, 4) characteristics of peri-implantitis, 5) risk factors/indicators for peri-implantitis, and 6) progressive crestal bone loss in the absence of soft tissue inflammation.

## METHODS

### Search strategy and data extraction

An electronic and manual search was conducted for each of the addressed topics. The PubMed database of the US National Library of Medicine, the Excerpta Medica database (Embase) by Elsevier, and the Web of Knowledge of Thomson Reuters were screened for relevant articles (i.e. experimental studies in animals and humans/ observational studies, randomized/ controlled clinical studies, systematic reviews/ meta-analyses, consensus reports). Data from identified and relevant publications were extracted and, if indicated, presented in evidence tables. Overall findings were summarized in a narrative manner.

## OBSERVATIONS AND DISCUSSION

### Current definition of peri-implantitis

Peri-implantitis is a pathological condition occurring in tissues around dental implants, characterized by inflammation in the peri-implant mucosa and progressive loss of supporting bone.<sup>1,4</sup>

In the clinical setting, soft tissue inflammation is detected by probing (bleeding on probing, BOP), while progressive bone loss is identified on radiographs. Studies on peri-implantitis require case definitions and threshold values to distinguish 1) health from disease and 2) mucositis from peri-implantitis. It should be noted that, while case definitions for peri-implantitis vary considerably between studies,<sup>5</sup> the definition of the disease remains.

### Conversion from peri-implant mucositis to peri-implantitis

Mirroring the progression of gingivitis to periodontitis, peri-implant mucositis is assumed to precede peri-implantitis.<sup>3</sup> Currently,

features or conditions characterizing the conversion from peri-implant mucositis to peri-implantitis have not been identified.

The peri-implant soft tissue reactions to plaque formation have been extensively evaluated in both animal<sup>6–13</sup> and human studies.<sup>14–16</sup> Thus, plaque formation consistently resulted in an inflammation of the peri-implant soft tissues,<sup>14–16</sup> associated with clinical signs of inflammation, such as redness and edema.<sup>7</sup>

Zitzmann et al. (2002) examined human biopsies after a plaque formation period of 21 days.<sup>13</sup> The histologic analysis revealed the establishment of a B and T cell-dominated inflammatory cell infiltrate (ICT) in the soft tissue lateral to the barrier epithelium, occupying an area of approximately 0.14 mm<sup>2</sup>.<sup>16</sup>

Similar findings were made in animal studies, presenting with a varying apical extension of the inflammatory lesion.<sup>7,9,10,12</sup> At most of the implant sites investigated, the lesion was located lateral to the barrier epithelium and separated from the crestal bone by a zone of healthy connective tissue. However, at some sites in one study, the subepithelial connective tissue was infiltrated with inflammatory cells (i.e. CD68 positive cells), thus decreasing the zone of healthy connective tissue above the peri-implant bone.<sup>7</sup> At 16 weeks of plaque formation, the distance between the apical extension of the ICT and the crestal bone varied between 1.0 and 1.9 mm. At only one implant site did the ICT reach the crestal bone.<sup>7</sup> The exact histopathologic mechanisms resulting in apical extension of the ICT and associated crestal bone loss have yet to be determined.

Clinically, the conversion from mucositis to peri-implantitis was evaluated in one retrospective observational study including 80 patients initially suffering from peri-implant mucositis.<sup>17</sup> Over 5 years, the incidence of peri-implantitis was lower in subjects enrolled in a regular maintenance program (18%) than among patients without regular maintenance care (43%). In the “maintained” group, “BOP+ at >50% of all implant sites” (OR 37) and “probing depth (PD) ≥4 mm at >5% of sites” (OR 20) were associated with peri-implantitis. In the “not maintained” group, the associated factors were PD (OR 26) and the presence of periodontitis (OR 11). In the entire patient group, the conversion to peri-implantitis was correlated with BOP (OR 18) and PD scores (OR 16), the lack of regular maintenance therapy (OR 6), as well as the presence of periodontitis (OR 9).

The histopathologic and clinical conditions leading to the conversion from peri-implant mucositis to peri-implantitis are not completely understood.

### Onset and pattern of disease progression

#### Progression of experimentally induced peri-implantitis

The so-called “ligature model” is often used to study experimental peri-implantitis in animals.<sup>18,19</sup> The protocol comprises a phase of active tissue breakdown around osseointegrated implants, including plaque formation and placement of ligatures in a submucosal position.<sup>20</sup> The ligature breaks the mucosal seal to the implant and promotes submucosal bacterial biofilm formation. The ensuing inflammatory lesion initiates tissue destruction, including bone loss. Also

after the removal of the ligatures and under continuous plaque formation, progression of disease may occur.<sup>22</sup> This model thus mimics naturally occurring peri-implantitis. When compared to experimentally induced periodontitis, lesions associated with experimental peri-implantitis demonstrate larger inflammatory cell infiltrates and more rapid and pronounced bone loss.<sup>21</sup> After a period of several weeks of plaque formation subsequent to ligature removal, spontaneous progression of peri-implantitis was associated with severe inflammation and tissue destruction.<sup>22</sup> Disease progression was influenced by implant surface characteristics with more pronounced breakdown at implants with modified than with non-modified surfaces.<sup>21,23</sup>

## Clinical studies on onset and progression of peri-implantitis

Prospective studies evaluating onset and progression of naturally occurring peri-implantitis could not be identified and are for obvious ethical reasons not feasible. However, retrospective observational studies employing *multilevel growth curve models* provided statistical estimates on onset and pattern of peri-implantitis associated bone loss.<sup>24,25</sup> Fransson et al. evaluated 182 patients with a total of 419 implants (machined/turned surfaces, no bone grafting procedures, fixed restorations) that presented with progressive bone loss.<sup>25</sup> For these implants, bone levels were assessed using intra-oral radiographs obtained between the 1-year examination and a follow-up period of 5 to 23 years (mean: 11.1 years). The average bone loss was 1.7 mm and cumulative percentages of implants with bone loss  $\geq 1$  mm,  $\geq 2$  mm, or  $\geq 3$  mm were 68%, 32% and 10%, respectively. A multilevel growth curve model revealed that the pattern of bone loss was non-linear, accelerating and demonstrating an increased variance over time that was attributed to subject heterogeneity. This was confirmed in a retrospective analysis by Derks et al.<sup>24</sup> Results indicated that the onset of peri-implantitis may occur early, as the majority of implants demonstrated first signs of bone loss ( $>0.5$  mm) already after the second (52%) and third year (66%) in function.<sup>24</sup> At the subject level, these calculations amounted to 70% and 81%, respectively.

When evaluating the above studies, it must be kept in mind that the onset of peri-implantitis was estimated on the basis of radiographic bone loss alone, not considering other clinical parameters.<sup>24,25</sup> Nevertheless, these analyses suggest that peri-implantitis may commence early during follow-up and that the progression of peri-implantitis appears to be faster than what is observed in periodontitis.<sup>26–28</sup>

The concept of a potentially early onset of peri-implantitis is further supported by findings from studies evaluating peri-implant conditions already after comparatively short follow-up periods ( $\leq 2$  years). A cross-sectional analysis of 238 patients with a total of 512 implants revealed that peri-implantitis (case definition: BOP+ and changes in radiographic bone level compared to baseline) was frequently noted in all implant age groups investigated.<sup>29</sup> At the implant level, its frequency amounted to  $n = 18$  at 1 to 12 months of follow-up,  $n = 34$  at 12 to 48 months and  $n = 12$  at  $>48$  months, respectively. For the diagnosis of peri-implant mucositis, the number of affected implants in respective age groups was  $n = 25$ ,  $n = 157$  and  $n = 32$ , respectively.

Becker et al. recently studied the incidence of biological complications at zirconia implants over a 2-year period in 52 patients.<sup>30</sup> BOP values significantly increased from 21% at baseline (i.e. 10 to 12 weeks after implant placement) to 38% and 64% at 6 and 12 months, respectively. Based on the given case definition (BOP+ and changes in the radiographic bone level compared to baseline), 18 patients were diagnosed with initial peri-implantitis between 12 and 24 months.<sup>30</sup>

## Characteristics of peri-implantitis

### Histopathologic characteristics of naturally occurring peri-implantitis

The histopathologic features of naturally occurring peri-implantitis lesions have been extensively assessed in human biopsy materials.<sup>31–39</sup>

When compared with peri-implant mucositis, the lesions at peri-implantitis sites (case definition: BOP+, suppuration, radiographic bone loss) harbored more neutrophil granulocytes and larger “proportions of B cells (CD19+)”.<sup>35</sup> Similar to periodontitis, the lesions at peri-implantitis sites were also dominated by plasma cells and lymphocytes,<sup>33,34,36</sup> but characterized by larger proportions of polymorphonuclear leukocytes and macrophages.<sup>31,38</sup> Recently, it was also shown that the size of peri-implantitis lesions (case definition: interproximal implant sites with BOP+ and PD  $\geq 7$  mm) was more than twice as large as that noted at periodontitis sites (3.5 mm<sup>2</sup> vs. 1.5 mm<sup>2</sup>).<sup>39</sup> Moreover, peri-implantitis lesions were characterized by larger area proportions, numbers and densities of plasma cells, macrophages and neutrophils, as well as a higher density of vascular structures outside and lateral to the cell infiltrate.<sup>39</sup> Another study using immunohistochemical analysis of harvested soft tissue biopsies showed that IL-1 $\alpha$  was a dominant osteoclast activating cytokine at peri-implantitis sites.<sup>37</sup> It must be emphasized that the above analyses of human peri-implant tissue biopsies did, for ethical reasons, not include the osseous component of the sites.

### Microbiologic and immunologic characteristics of naturally occurring peri-implantitis

Using conventional DNA probe and cultural analyses, common periodontopathogenic bacteria have been isolated at both healthy and diseased implant sites,<sup>40</sup> and the distribution of the detected species did not markedly differ by clinical implant status (i.e. healthy, peri-implant mucositis, peri-implantitis).<sup>41</sup> However, when compared with healthy implant sites alone, peri-implantitis was associated with higher counts of 19 bacterial species, including *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Tannerella forsythia*.<sup>42</sup> Moreover, observational studies have indicated that peri-implantitis was more frequently linked with opportunistic pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*),<sup>43,44</sup> fungal organisms (e.g. *Candida albicans*, *Candida boidinii*, *Penicillium spp.*, *Rhadorula laryngis*, *Paecilomyces spp.*),<sup>43,45,46</sup> and viruses (i.e. human cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus),<sup>47</sup> thus pointing to a rather complex and heterogenous infection.<sup>48,49</sup> It should be

emphasized that the submucosal microbiota of peri-implantitis lesions have not been extensively studied using culture-independent techniques. Thus, the microbial picture associated with peri-implantitis should be regarded as incomplete.

Most recent systematic reviews have focused on the correlations between various cytokines (i.e. proinflammatory/ anti-inflammatory/ osteoclastogenesis-related) and chemokines measured in the peri-implant crevicular fluid (PICF) and the clinical condition at implant sites.<sup>50,51</sup> Most of the included studies focused on the assessment of IL-1 $\beta$  and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ). Based on a meta-analysis,<sup>50</sup> the release of IL-1 $\beta$  was reported to be significantly increased at mucositis and peri-implantitis sites, when compared with healthy implant sites. However, no significant difference in IL-1 $\beta$  levels was noted between peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis sites. Peri-implantitis sites were also associated with a significant increase in TNF- $\alpha$  levels over healthy implant sites.<sup>50</sup> In contrast, the majority of included studies failed to identify any significant differences in the levels of either IL-4, IL-10, or osteoclastogenesis-related (RANKL) cytokines between healthy and peri-implantitis sites.<sup>51</sup> Accordingly, the systematic reviews indicated that the assessment of proinflammatory cytokines (mainly IL-1 $\beta$ ) in the PICF might be of beneficial value to differentiate between peri-implant health and disease, but inappropriate to determine the onset of peri-implantitis.

### Clinical characteristics of naturally occurring peri-implantitis

Clinical signs of inflammation including redness, edema, mucosal enlargement, BOP+ with or without suppuration along with increases in PD and radiographic bone loss are commonly used in case definitions for peri-implantitis.<sup>31,33–39</sup>

Implant sites diagnosed with peri-implantitis commonly show increased PD. In a study evaluating 588 patients with 2,277 implants after a function time of 9 years, PD  $\geq 6$  mm was recorded at 59% of all implants presenting with moderate/severe peri-implantitis (case definition: BOP+ and bone loss  $>2$  mm).<sup>52</sup> Out of the implants classified as healthy (case definition: BOP-) or diagnosed with mucositis (case definition: BOP+ but no bone loss  $>0.5$  mm), 3% and 16% showed PD  $\geq 6$  mm, respectively. It was also noted that the frequency of implants demonstrating PD  $\geq 6$  mm increased with increasing severity of peri-implantitis.

In a cross-sectional analysis, Schwarz et al. evaluated a total of 238 patients ( $n = 512$  implants) after a median function time of 23 months (1 to 80 months).<sup>29</sup> At peri-implant mucositis sites (case definition: BOP+ on at least one aspect of the implant), the frequency of BOP scores mainly ranged between 33% and 50%, while the peak was 67% at peri-implantitis sites (case definition: BOP+ and/or suppuration and changes in the radiographic bone level compared to baseline). Diseased implant sites were associated with higher frequencies of 4 to 6 mm PD than implants with a healthy peri-implant mucosa, with an equal distribution between mucositis and peri-implantitis sites. PD values of  $\geq 7$  mm were only observed at one implant diagnosed with peri-implantitis.<sup>29</sup>

In this context, it must be realized that the determination of what constitutes a physiological PD at implant sites is difficult. A recent analysis described a high degree of variation in the vertical mucosal thickness measured at healthy implant sites, ranging from 1.6 to 7.0 mm (i.e. mucosal margin to the crestal bone level).<sup>53</sup> One cross-sectional analysis also evaluated and compared the horizontal mucosal thickness (hMT) at healthy and diseased implant sites. Median hMT were significantly increased at diseased-, when compared with healthy implant sites (1.1 mm), but were similar at mucositis and peri-implantitis sites (i.e. 1.7 vs. 1.6 mm), respectively. In all groups investigated, these values did not markedly differ by implant location (i.e., upper/lower jaws) or position (i.e., anterior/posterior sites).<sup>54</sup>

Several consensus statements pointed towards suppuration as a common finding at sites diagnosed with peri-implantitis.<sup>1,4</sup> One study examined 197 implants in 97 patients demonstrating progressive bone loss on radiographs.<sup>55,56</sup> The authors compared these implants with 285 implants in the same patients not exhibiting bone loss. It was observed that, while 94% of the implants presenting with bone loss also were positive for BOP, suppuration on probing was identified at 19%. Only 5% of implant sites without bone loss showed suppuration.

Clinical studies also reported on the configuration of peri-implantitis defects.<sup>57–59</sup> In 79% of all sites investigated, naturally occurring peri-implantitis lesions featured a combined supra- (Class II) and intrabony (Class I) defect configuration.<sup>58</sup> The intrabony component most frequently (55%) exhibited circumferential bone loss with maintenance of the buccal and lingual contours of the supporting crestal bone (i.e. Class Ie). This was followed by buccal dehiscence-type defects revealing a semicircular defect to the middle of the implant body (i.e. Class Ib) (16%), and buccal dehiscence-type defects with circular bone resorption in the presence (i.e. Class Ic) (13%), or absence (i.e. Class Id) (10%) of the lingual bone plate. The lowest frequency was noted for isolated buccal dehiscence-type defects (i.e. Class Ia) (5%).<sup>58</sup> Similar intraoperative findings were also reported by Serino et al.<sup>57</sup> The majority (66%) of the implants investigated ( $n = 59$ ) exhibited a uniform bone loss at all four aspects.<sup>57</sup> The remaining peri-implantitis defects mainly featured a more advanced bone loss at the buccal site. These data were recently confirmed in a cross-sectional analysis, also pointing to an uniform bone loss at all four implant aspects with a high frequency of Class Ie defects (15/46, 33%).<sup>59</sup> Based on the above studies, it is assumed that peri-implantitis lesions commonly progress circumferentially around the affected implants.

Studies reporting on clinical characteristics of implants diagnosed with peri-implantitis are summarized in Table 1.

### Periapical peri-implantitis

Apart from peri-implant infections at sites with deepened probing depths, a number of case series also reported on the occurrence of periapical peri-implantitis lesions. The affected implants were commonly characterized by a periapical radiographic radiolucency with or without concomitant clinical signs of inflammation, such as redness, edema, fistula and/ or abscess formation.<sup>60–72</sup> These clinical and radiographic

**TABLE 1** Clinical characteristics of peri-implantitis

| Study                                                        | Type of study                                             | Study sample                                                                                                            | Case definition/inclusion criteria                                                                                              | Findings                                                                                                                                                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fransson et al. 2005 <sup>56</sup><br>and 2008 <sup>55</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>5 to 20 years<br>mean: 9.4 years       | 82 patients<br>197 implants identified with progressive bone loss<br>285 implants with no progressive bone loss         | Progressive bone loss<br>Bone level $\geq 3$ threads & bone loss<br>>0.6 mm                                                     | Clinical examination<br>PD $\geq 6$ mm/Suppuration (% of implants)<br>No progressive bone loss: 12%/5%<br>Progressive bone loss: 35%/19%                                   |
| Schwarz et al. 2007 <sup>58</sup>                            | Cross-sectional                                           | 24 patients<br>40 implants diagnosed with<br>moderate to advanced peri-implantitis                                      | Case definition<br>PD >6 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss                                                                            | Intraoperative assessment<br>Combination of intrabony and supracrestal<br>defects; circumferential-type intrabony<br>defects most frequent (55.3%).                        |
| Serino et al. 2013 <sup>57</sup>                             | Cross-sectional                                           | 29 patients<br>89 implants diagnosed with<br>peri-implantitis                                                           | Case definition<br>PD >4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq 2$ mm                                                                | Clinical examination and intraoperative<br>assessment<br>Circumferential-type bone defects most frequent<br>(66.0%).                                                       |
| Derks et al. 2016 <sup>52</sup>                              | Cross-sectional<br>9 years                                | 588 patients<br>137 patients diagnosed with mucositis<br>62 patients diagnosed with moderate/severe<br>peri-implantitis | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss >2 mm                                                                                  | Clinical examination<br>PD $\geq 6$ mm (% of implants)<br>Healthy: 3%<br>Mucositis: 16%<br>Moderate/severe peri-implantitis: 59%                                           |
| Garcia-Garcia et al.<br>2016 <sup>59</sup>                   | Cross-sectional                                           | 25 patients<br>46 implants diagnosed with<br>peri-implantitis                                                           | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level >2 mm                                                                                 | Radiographic and intraoperative assessment<br>Circumferential-type intrabony defects most<br>frequent (32.6%).                                                             |
| Schwarz et al. 2017 <sup>54</sup>                            | Cross-sectional                                           | 60 patients<br>229 implants diagnosed with moderate to<br>advanced peri-implantitis                                     | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss                                                                                        | Clinical assessment with validated ultrasonic<br>A-scan<br>Horizontal mucosal thickness (median)<br>Healthy sites 1.1 mm<br>Mucositis: 1.7 mm<br>Peri-implantitis: 1.61 mm |
| Schwarz et al. 2017 <sup>29</sup>                            | Cross-sectional<br>1 month - 6.7 years<br>mean: 2.2 years | 238 patients<br>216/512 implants diagnosed with mucositis<br>46/512 implants diagnosed with peri-implantitis            | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Changes in the radiographic bone<br>level compared to baseline (i.e.<br>prosthesis installation) | Clinical examination<br>Higher BOP scores at peri-implantitis sites when<br>compared to mucositis sites. Similar PD scores.                                                |

signs of inflammation were noted between 2 to 8 weeks<sup>68,71</sup> and up to 4 years<sup>65</sup> after implant placement. The majority of the studies reported a direct correlation between retrograde peri-implantitis and the existence of periapical endodontic lesions at adjacent teeth.<sup>61–63,65,67,68,70,72</sup>

## Oral-mucosal lesions mimicking peri-implantitis

Case reports have described a variety of oral-mucosal lesions at dental implants that may mimic peri-implant diseases. Such lesions include primary malignant tumors (i.e. oral squamous cell carcinoma)<sup>73–76</sup> or metastases<sup>77</sup> as well as giant cell and pyogenic granuloma.<sup>78–86</sup>

While these pathologic conditions share several clinical features with peri-implant diseases, they reveal distinct differences to a non-specific inflammation at the histopathologic level.<sup>86</sup>

## Risk factors/indicators for peri-implantitis

Interventional studies of longitudinal design are required to identify true risk factors for a disease. Observational studies, cross-sectional or retrospective in nature, may only describe risk indicators.

In the following text, potential risk factors/indicators with substantial evidence are addressed in dedicated sections, while factors with limited evidence are summarized under “Areas of future research”.

## History of periodontitis

Periodontitis is a common disease. Its severe form ranks 6th among the most prevalent disorders.<sup>87</sup> In a recent survey carried out in the United States, Eke et al. reported that roughly 50% of the adult population (aged  $\geq 30$  years) presented with periodontitis.<sup>88</sup> In individuals aged  $\geq 65$  years, the corresponding number was 68%. Studies reporting on the potential association between history of periodontitis (chronic or aggressive) and peri-implantitis are described in Table 2.

In two 10-year longitudinal studies, peri-implantitis was assessed and correlated with a history of periodontitis. Karoussis et al. provided implant therapy to 45 patients without a history of periodontitis.<sup>89</sup> A total of eight patients were treated with implants after having successfully completed periodontal therapy. The 10-year incidence of peri-implantitis (case definition: PD  $\geq 5$  mm, BOP+ and annual bone loss  $>0.2$  mm) in the non-periodontitis group was 6% (implant level) compared to 29% in subjects with a history of periodontitis. Rocuzzo et al. followed 101 patients provided with dental implants after having been categorized as 1) periodontally not compromised, 2) moderately compromised and 3) severely compromised.<sup>90,91</sup> The authors reported that both the frequency of implant sites demonstrating PD  $\geq 6$  mm (2%, 16%, 27%, respectively) and bone loss  $\geq 3$  mm (5%, 11%, 15%, respectively) differed significantly between groups. The results also showed that treatment of peri-implantitis was more time consuming in patients with a history of periodontitis. In a follow-up study of 80 patients presenting with mucositis at baseline, the incidence of peri-implantitis over 5 years was assessed by Costa et al.<sup>17</sup> The authors observed an overall

incidence of peri-implantitis of 31%. Patients suffering from periodontitis at the final examination had significantly higher odds to also have developed peri-implantitis when compared to individuals without periodontitis (OR 9).

A number of cross-sectional studies reported on prevalence of peri-implantitis and analyzed associations with either a history of periodontitis or current periodontitis. In a study including 216 patients were evaluated 9 to 14 years after implant therapy, Roos-Jans aker et al. reported that implants placed in patients with a history of periodontitis had significantly higher odds (OR 5) for peri-implantitis when compared to implants in patients without.<sup>92,93</sup> Kold sland et al. reported similar findings after examining 109 subjects with 1 to 16 years of follow-up.<sup>94,95</sup> Thus, patients with a history of periodontitis were found to be at higher risk for peri-implantitis (OR 6). Several subsequent studies confirmed this association with varying degrees of strength.<sup>96–100</sup> Other studies correlated current periodontitis with peri-implantitis, also reporting strong associations.<sup>52,101,102</sup> In fact, Daubert et al. found that severe periodontitis at follow-up was the strongest indicator for peri-implantitis of all variables examined, presenting with an unadjusted risk ratio of 7.<sup>101</sup> Derks et al., in a 9-year follow-up including 588 patients reported an odds ratio of 4 for patients with current periodontitis.<sup>52</sup>

While the majority of publications is in general agreement when examining the association between periodontitis and peri-implantitis, it should also be noted that conflicting reports exist.<sup>29,103–106</sup> Thus, Marrone et al. examined 103 patients with implant-supported restorations in function for at least 5 years.<sup>103</sup> Neither current periodontitis nor history of periodontitis were statistically significant predictors for peri-implantitis. Also R kn et al., in a cross-sectional study on 134 patients failed to demonstrate a higher risk for peri-implantitis in patients with a history of periodontitis.<sup>104</sup> Disagreement between studies may be explained by differences in case definitions for 1) (history of) periodontitis and 2) peri-implantitis (see Table 2).

Conclusion: There is strong evidence from longitudinal and cross-sectional studies that a history of periodontitis constitutes a risk factor/indicator for peri-implantitis.

## Smoking

Smoking has been strongly associated with chronic periodontitis, attachment loss as well as tooth loss,<sup>107,108</sup> Studies reporting on the potential association between smoking and peri-implantitis are described in Table 3.

Lindquist et al. reported that smokers presented with substantially more crestal bone loss than non-smokers.<sup>109</sup> In line with this observation, several subsequent studies observed a strong association between smoking and peri-implantitis. In a 10-year cohort study, Karoussis et al. found that 18% of all implants in smokers developed peri-implantitis, while only 6% of implants in non-smokers were affected.<sup>89</sup> Three cross-sectional studies confirmed these findings, reporting odds ratios of 32,<sup>110</sup> 3,<sup>30</sup> and 5,<sup>93</sup> respectively.

The majority of publications, however, failed to identify smoking as a risk factor/indicator for peri-implantitis. Aguirre-Zorzano et al.

**TABLE 2** History of periodontitis and peri-implantitis

| Study                                                   | Type of study                                       | Study sample                                                                                                                                        | History of periodontitis                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Peri-implantitis                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Association                                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Karoussis et al. 2003 <sup>89</sup>                     | Cohort study<br>8-12 years                          | 53 patients<br>8 patients with history of periodontitis<br>45 patients with no history of periodontitis                                             | Case definition for periodontitis not specified.<br>Successfully treated prior to implant therapy.                                                                                                                                                                               | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP+<br>Annual bone loss >0.2 mm                                                                                                                                              | 10-year incidence of peri-implantitis (implant level)<br>History of periodontitis: 28.6%<br>No history of periodontitis: 5.8%                                                         |
| Ferreira et al. 2006 <sup>102</sup>                     | Cross-sectional<br>0.5-5 years<br>mean: 3.5 years   | 212 patients<br>30 patients with current periodontitis<br>182 patients with no current periodontitis                                                | Case definition<br>$\geq$ 4 teeth with PD $\geq$ 4 mm and CAL $\geq$ 3 mm (at final examination)                                                                                                                                                                                 | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level (no threshold)                                                                                                                                         | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>Periodontitis: OR 3.1                                                                                                                    |
| Roos-Jansåker et al. 2006 <sup>92,93</sup>              | Cross-sectional<br>9-14 years<br>mean: 11.0 years   | 216 patients<br>Number of patients with/without history of periodontitis not reported                                                               | Case definition<br>% remaining teeth with bone loss $\geq$ 4 mm (prior to implant therapy)<br>Categories: 0-30% and 31-100%                                                                                                                                                      | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\leq$ 1.8 mm                                                                                                                                                             | Odds for peri-implantitis (implant level)<br>History of periodontitis: OR 4.7                                                                                                         |
| Máximo et al. 2008 <sup>100</sup>                       | Cross-sectional<br>$\geq$ 1 year<br>mean: 3.4 years | 113 patients<br>33 edentulous patients<br>21 patients with no history of periodontal bone loss<br>59 patients with history of periodontal bone loss | Case definition<br>Number of quadrants showing crestal bone loss (at final examination)                                                                                                                                                                                          | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level $\geq$ 3 threads                                                                                                                                       | Peri-implantitis most common in patients presenting with periodontal bone loss in all 4 quadrants.                                                                                    |
| Koldstad et al. 2010 <sup>94</sup> & 2011 <sup>95</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>1-16 years<br>mean: 8.4 years    | 103 patients<br>24 patients with history of periodontitis (6 patients with current periodontitis)<br>77 patients with no history of periodontitis   | Case definition for current periodontitis<br>$\geq$ 2 teeth with PD $\geq$ 5 mm, BOP % bone loss $\geq$ 6 mm<br>(at final examination)<br>Definition for history of periodontitis<br>Tooth loss due to periodontitis and bone loss $\geq$ 4 mm at $\geq$ 30% of remaining teeth. | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 2 mm                                                                                                                                             | Odds for peri-implantitis (implant level)<br>History of periodontitis: OR 6.2                                                                                                         |
| Rocuzzo et al. 2010 <sup>91</sup> & 2012 <sup>90</sup>  | Cohort study<br>10 years                            | 101 patients<br>28 patients not periodontally compromised<br>37 patients moderately compromised<br>36 patients severely compromised                 | Case definition for periodontitis not specified. Based on clinical examination at baseline. Periodontally compromised patients categorized according to number and depth of periodontal pockets.                                                                                 | Case definition for peri-implantitis not reported. Number of sites with increased PD and bone loss as well as patients treated for peri-implantitis by means of systemic antibiotics and/or surgery are presented. | Association between (i) % of sites with PD $\geq$ 6 mm, (ii) % of sites with bone loss $\geq$ 3 mm, (iii) % of patients treated for peri-implantitis and baseline periodontal status. |
| Dvorak et al. 2011 <sup>106</sup>                       | Cross-sectional<br>1-24 years<br>mean: 6.0 years    | 203 patients<br>Number of patients with/without history of periodontitis not reported                                                               | Case definition for periodontitis not specified. Patient-reported.                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Case definition<br>PD >4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss/level (no threshold)                                                                                                                                          | No association.                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Costa et al. 2012 <sup>17</sup>                         | Cohort study<br>5 years                             | 80 patients with mucositis<br>28 patients with current periodontitis<br>52 patients with no current periodontitis                                   | Case definition<br>$\geq$ 4 teeth with PD $\geq$ 4 mm and CAL $\geq$ 3 mm (at final examination)                                                                                                                                                                                 | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level (no threshold)                                                                                                                                         | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>Periodontitis: OR 9.2                                                                                                                    |

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Study                                     | Type of study                                             | Study sample                                                                                                                                       | History of periodontitis                                                                                                                                      | Peri-implantitis                                                                                                          | Association                                                                    |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Casado et al. 2013 <sup>96</sup>          | Cross-sectional<br>1-8 years<br>mean: 5.6 years           | 215 patients<br>88 with history of periodontitis<br>127 with no history of periodontitis                                                           | Case definition<br>Bone loss and PD $\geq$ 4 mm at $\geq$ 30% of remaining sites (prior to implant therapy). Patient records.                                 | Case definition<br>BOP+<br>Annual bone loss >0.2 mm (1 mm for first year)                                                 | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>History of periodontitis: OR 4.0  |
| Marrone et al. 2013 <sup>103</sup>        | Cross-sectional<br>5-18 years<br>mean: 8.5 years          | 103 patients<br>62 patients with history of periodontitis (15 patients with current periodontitis)<br>41 patients with no history of periodontitis | Case definition for current periodontitis<br>BOP $\geq$ 25% & PD $\geq$ 5 mm (at final examination).<br>Definition for history of periodontitis not reported. | Case definition<br>PD > 5 mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone level > 2 mm                                                                 | No association.                                                                |
| Renvert et al. 2014 <sup>98</sup>         | Cross-sectional<br>mean: 10.1 years                       | 270 patients<br>137 with history of periodontitis<br>133 with no history of periodontitis                                                          | Case definition for periodontitis not specified. Based on patient records, interview and clinical examination.                                                | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level > 2 mm                                                        | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>History of periodontitis: OR 4.5  |
| Daubert et al. 2015 <sup>101</sup>        | Cross-sectional<br>9-15 years<br>mean: 10.9 years         | 96 patients<br>Number of patients with current severe periodontitis not reported                                                                   | Severe periodontitis defined as the presence of periodontitis with attachment loss $\geq$ 5 mm (at final examination)                                         | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 2 mm                                                    | Risk for peri-implantitis (implant level)<br>Severe periodontitis: RR 7.3      |
| de Araujo Nobre et al. 2015 <sup>97</sup> | Case-control<br>$\geq$ 1 year                             | 1275 patients<br>198/255 cases with history of periodontitis<br>57/1020 controls with history of periodontitis                                     | Tooth loss due to periodontitis.                                                                                                                              | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 2 mm                                                        | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>History of periodontitis: OR 19.0 |
| Canullo et al. 2016 <sup>105</sup>        | Cross-sectional<br>mean: 5.1 years                        | 534 patients<br>140 patients with current periodontitis<br>394 patients with no current periodontitis                                              | Case definition<br>>30% of remaining teeth with BOP, presence of PD $\geq$ 4 mm and bone loss (at final examination)                                          | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level > 3 mm                                                        | No association.                                                                |
| Derks et al. 2016 <sup>52</sup>           | Cross-sectional<br>9 years                                | 588 patients<br>140 patients with current periodontitis<br>352 patients with not current periodontitis<br>96 edentulous patients                   | Case definition<br>$\geq$ 2 teeth exhibiting BOP/SUP+, attachment loss $\geq$ 2 mm and PD $\geq$ 6 mm (at final examination)                                  | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss > 2 mm                                                                           | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>Periodontitis: OR 4.1             |
| Rokn et al. 2017 <sup>104</sup>           | Cross-sectional<br>1-11 years<br>mean: 4.4 years          | 134 patients<br>17 patients with history of periodontal treatment<br>117 patients with no history of periodontal treatment                         | Case definition for periodontitis not specified.                                                                                                              | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level > 2 mm                                                                          | No association.                                                                |
| Dalago et al. 2017 <sup>99</sup>          | Cross-sectional<br>1-14 years                             | 183 patients<br>33 patients with history of periodontitis<br>150 with no history of periodontitis                                                  | Case definition<br>Tooth loss, bone loss > 5 mm, mobility degree III and/or PD > 4 mm (prior to implant therapy)                                              | Case definition<br>PD > 5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level > 2 mm                                                             | Odds for peri-implantitis (implant level)<br>History of periodontitis: OR 2.2  |
| Schwarz et al. 2017 <sup>29</sup>         | Cross-sectional<br>1 month - 6.7 years<br>mean: 2.2 years | 238 patients<br>39 with history of periodontitis<br>199 with no history of periodontitis                                                           | Case definition for periodontitis not specified.                                                                                                              | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Changes in the radiographic bone level compared to baseline (i.e. prosthesis installation) | No association.                                                                |

**TABLE 3** Smoking and peri-implantitis

| Study                                                     | Type of study                                             | Study sample                                                               | Smoking                                                                                                                                                | Peri-implantitis                                                             | Association                                                                                                   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Karoussis et al. 2003 <sup>89</sup>                       | Cohort study<br>8-12 years                                | 53 patients<br>41 non-smokers<br>12 smokers                                | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at time of implant installation.                                                                                   | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP+<br>Annual bone loss $>$ 0.2 mm     | Incidence of peri-implantitis (implant level)<br>Non-smokers: 6.0%<br>Smokers: 17.9%                          |
| Roos-Jans  ker et al. 2006 <sup>92,93</sup>               | Cross-sectional<br>9-14 years<br>mean: 11.0 years         | 216 patients<br>Number of smokers/<br>former smokers<br>not reported.      | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 1.8 mm                       | Odds for peri-implantitis (implant level)<br>Smoking OR 4.6                                                   |
| M  ximo et al. 2008 <sup>100</sup>                        | Cross-sectional<br>$\geq$ 1 year<br>mean: 3.4 years       | 113 patients<br>60 never-smokers<br>32 former smokers<br>21 smokers        | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level $\geq$ 3 threads | No association.                                                                                               |
| Koldsl  nd et al. 2010 <sup>94</sup> & 2011 <sup>95</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>1-16 years<br>mean: 8.4 years          | 103 patients<br>87 non-smokers<br>16 smokers                               | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 2 mm       | No association.                                                                                               |
| Rinke et al. 2011 <sup>110</sup>                          | Cross-sectional<br>2-11 years<br>mean: 5.7 years          | 89 patients<br>72 non-smokers<br>17 smokers                                | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination and former smokers (cessation $<$ 5 years).                                                   | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 3.5 mm         | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>Smoker: OR 31.6                                                  |
| Dvorak et al. 2011 <sup>106</sup>                         | Cross-sectional<br>1-24 years<br>mean: 6.0 years          | 203 patients<br>Number of smokers<br>not reported.                         | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>PD $>$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss/level (no threshold) | No association.                                                                                               |
| Casado et al. 2013 <sup>96</sup>                          | Cross-sectional<br>1-8 years<br>mean: 5.6 years           | 215 patients<br>194 non-smokers<br>21 smokers                              | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>BOP+<br>Annual bone loss $>$ 0.2 mm (1 mm for first year) | No association.                                                                                               |
| Marrone et al. 2013 <sup>103</sup>                        | Cross-sectional<br>5-18 years<br>mean: 8.5 years          | 103 patients<br>83 non-smokers<br>20 smokers                               | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>PD $>$ 5 mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone level $>$ 2 mm                | No association.                                                                                               |
| Renvert et al. 2014 <sup>98</sup>                         | Not reported                                              | 270 patients<br>155 non-smokers<br>110 smokers                             | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination and former smokers (cessation $\leq$ 10 years).                                               | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level $>$ 2 mm         | Significant association in unadjusted but not in adjusted analysis.                                           |
| Aguirre-Zorzano et al. 2015 <sup>111</sup>                | Cross-sectional<br>6 months - 17 years<br>mean: 5.3 years | 239 patients<br>164 non-smokers<br>75 smokers                              | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>BOP+<br>Bone loss $>$ 1.5 mm                              | No association.                                                                                               |
| Daubert et al. 2015 <sup>101</sup>                        | Cross-sectional<br>9-15 years<br>mean: 10.9 years         | 96 patients<br>89 non-smokers<br>7 smokers                                 | Patient-reported at time of implant installation and final examination.<br>Smoker: smoking at initial/final examination.<br>Calculation of pack/years. | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 2 mm       | No association between peri-implantitis and (i) smoking status at initial/final examination, (ii) pack/years. |
| de Araujo Nobre et al. 2015 <sup>97</sup>                 | Case-control<br>$\geq$ 1 year                             | 1275 patients<br>95/255 cases are smokers<br>242/1020 controls are smokers | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final examination.                                                                                              | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 2 mm           | No association.                                                                                               |

(Continues)

**TABLE 3** (Continued)

| Study                              | Type of study                                             | Study sample                                   | Smoking                                                                 | Peri-implantitis                                                                                                                         | Association                                                               |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Canullo et al. 2016 <sup>105</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>mean: 5.1 years                        | 534 patients<br>393 non-smokers<br>141 smokers | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final<br>examination.            | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level >3 mm                                                                        | No association.                                                           |
| Derks et al. 2016 <sup>52</sup>    | Cross-sectional<br>9 years                                | 588 patients<br>467 non-smokers<br>121 smokers | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at time<br>of implant installation. | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss >2 mm                                                                                           | Significant association<br>in unadjusted but not<br>in adjusted analysis. |
| Rokn et al. 2017 <sup>104</sup>    | Cross-sectional<br>1-11 years<br>mean: 4.4 years          | 134 patients<br>126 non-smokers<br>8 smokers   | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final<br>examination.            | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level >2 mm                                                                                          | No association.                                                           |
| Dalago et al. 2017 <sup>99</sup>   | Cross-sectional<br>1-14 years                             | 183 patients<br>162 non-smokers<br>21 smokers  | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at final<br>examination.            | Case definition<br>PD >5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level >2 mm                                                                              | No association.                                                           |
| Schwarz et al. 2017 <sup>29</sup>  | Cross-sectional<br>1 month - 6.7 years<br>mean: 2.2 years | 238 patients<br>204 non-smokers<br>34 smokers  | Patient-reported<br>Smoker: smoking at time<br>of implant installation. | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Changes in the<br>radiographic bone<br>level compared to<br>baseline (i.e.<br>prosthesis<br>installation) | Odds for peri-implanti-<br>tis (patient level)<br>Smoking: OR 2.7         |

examined 239 implant-carrying individuals after a mean follow-up time of about 5 years and found an overall prevalence of peri-implantitis of 15%.<sup>111</sup> Smokers were not at higher risk. Results from other cross-sectional studies confirmed their findings.<sup>95,96,99-101,103-106</sup> It should be observed that three different studies reported on an association between smoking and peri-implantitis in their respective initial univariate analyses.<sup>52,97,98</sup> However, in the following calculations with adjustments for confounding and interaction (multivariate analyses), smoking was not retained as a relevant predictor for peri-implantitis. This indicates that smoking may be confounded by other background variables, e.g. history of periodontitis. The reasons for the conflicting findings and the apparent weak association between smoking and peri-implantitis are currently not understood but may be related to differences in categorization of smokers and non-smokers. Thus, criteria for the factor "smoking" varied considerably from study to study. Furthermore, all of the identified studies relied solely on patient-reported information for the assessment of smoking status.

**Conclusion:** There is currently no conclusive evidence that smoking constitutes a risk factor/indicator for peri-implantitis.

## Diabetes

Diabetes mellitus comprises a group of metabolic diseases where type 1 describes an autoimmune destruction of insulin-producing  $\beta$ -cells and type 2 is characterized by insulin resistance.<sup>112</sup> The global prevalence of diabetes in the adult population is estimated at around 8%,<sup>113,114</sup> and the disorder has been identified as a risk factor for

periodontitis.<sup>115,116</sup> Table 4 summarizes studies on its potential association with peri-implantitis.

A number of authors have indicated that patients with diabetes are at higher risk for peri-implantitis. Thus, Ferreira et al. recorded peri-implantitis in 24% of individuals who either medicated for glycaemic control or presented with fasting blood sugar  $\geq$ 126 mg/dL at the final examination.<sup>102</sup> In contrast, only 7% of non-diabetic patients were diagnosed accordingly. The authors reported an OR of 1.9. Recent findings from a study involving 96 patients with 225 implants demonstrated, after a mean follow-up of 11 years, a 3-fold risk (Risk ratio 3, implant level) for peri-implantitis in subjects who were diagnosed with diabetes at time of implant placement.<sup>101</sup> This analysis, however, was not adjusted for potential confounding. Tawil et al. followed 45 patients with diabetes for a mean of 42 months (range 1 to 12 years).<sup>117</sup> In subjects with a mean HbA1c level  $\leq$ 7%, no implants were diagnosed with peri-implantitis. In patients with elevated HbA1c levels (7% to 9%), six out of 141 implants developed peri-implantitis.

A number of studies failed to identify diabetes as a risk for peri-implantitis. In the retrospective study by Costa et al., patients with diabetes diagnosed with mucositis were not at higher risk to develop peri-implantitis when compared to non-diabetics.<sup>17</sup> Similarly, a lack of association between peri-implantitis and diabetes was reported in the majority of available cross-sectional studies.<sup>52,93,98-100,103,104,106</sup> It should be pointed out that the assessment of diabetes in all but three studies<sup>17,102,117</sup> was solely based on patient-reported information. In two of the three reports an association was found between diabetes<sup>102</sup> or HbA1c levels<sup>117</sup> and peri-implantitis.

**TABLE 4** Diabetes and peri-implantitis

| Study                                         | Type of study                                        | Study sample                                                                                                                                           | Diabetes                                                                                              | Peri-implantitis                                                             | Association                                                                                                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ferreira et al. 2006 <sup>102</sup>           | Cross-sectional<br>0.5-5 years<br>mean: 3.5 years    | 212 patients<br>183 non-diabetic patients<br>29 patients with diabetes                                                                                 | Fasting blood sugar $\geq 126$ mg/dL or<br>intake of anti-diabetic medicine<br>(at final examination) | Case definition<br>PD $\geq 5$ mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level (no threshold)   | Peri-implantitis (patient<br>level)<br>Diabetes: OR 1.9                                                                          |
| Roos-Jansåker et al.<br>2006 <sup>92,93</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>9-14 years<br>mean: 11.0 years    | 216 patients<br>Number of patients with/without<br>diabetes not reported.                                                                              | Patient-reported<br>(at final examination)<br>Diabetes considered in factor<br>"General disease"      | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq 1.8$ mm                       | No association.                                                                                                                  |
| Máximo et al. 2008 <sup>100</sup>             | Cross-sectional<br>$\geq 1$ year<br>mean: 3.4 years  | 113 patients<br>111 non-diabetic patients<br>2 patients with diabetes                                                                                  | Patient-reported<br>(at final examination)                                                            | Case definition<br>PD $\geq 5$ mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level $\geq 3$ threads | No association.                                                                                                                  |
| Tawil et al. 2008 <sup>117</sup>              | Cohort study<br>1-12 years<br>mean: 3.5 years        | 45 patients with diabetes<br>22 patients with HbA1c level $\leq 7\%$<br>22 patients with HbA1c level 7%<br>to 9%<br>1 patient with HbA1c level $> 9\%$ | Regular assessments of<br>HbA1c levels during pre- and<br>postoperative period.                       | Case definition for peri-implantitis<br>not reported.                        | Peri-implantitis (implant<br>level)<br>HbA1c level $\leq 7\%$ : 0%<br>HbA1c level 7% - 9%:<br>4.3%<br>HbA1c level $> 9\%$ : 9.1% |
| Dvorak et al. 2011 <sup>106</sup>             | Cross-sectional<br>1-24 years<br>mean: 6.0 years     | 203 patients<br>Number of patients with/without<br>diabetes not reported.                                                                              | Patient-reported<br>(at final examination)                                                            | Case definition<br>PD $> 4$ mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss/level (no threshold) | No association.                                                                                                                  |
| Costa et al. 2012 <sup>17</sup>               | Cohort study<br>5 years                              | 80 patients with mucositis<br>69 non-diabetic patients<br>11 patients with diabetes                                                                    | Fasting blood sugar $\geq 126$ mg/dL or<br>intake of anti-diabetic medicine<br>(at final examination) | Case definition<br>PD $\geq 5$ mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level (no threshold)   | No association.                                                                                                                  |
| Marrone et al. 2013 <sup>103</sup>            | Cross-sectional<br>5 to 18 years<br>mean: 8.5 years  | 103 patients<br>96 non-diabetic patients<br>7 patients with diabetes                                                                                   | Patient-reported<br>(at final examination)                                                            | Case definition<br>PD $> 5$ mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone level $> 2$ mm                | No association.                                                                                                                  |
| Renvert et al. 2014 <sup>98</sup>             | Not reported                                         | 270 patients<br>259 non-diabetic patients<br>11 patients with diabetes                                                                                 | Patient-reported<br>(at final examination)                                                            | Case definition<br>PD $\geq 4$ mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level $> 2$ mm         | Association in unadjusted<br>(OR 6.1, $P = 0.09$ ) but<br>not in adjusted analysis.                                              |
| Daubert et al. 2015 <sup>101</sup>            | Cross-sectional<br>9 to 15 years<br>mean: 10.9 years | 96 patients<br>91 non-diabetic patients<br>5 patients with diabetes                                                                                    | Patient records/Patient-reported<br>(prior to implant therapy)                                        | Case definition<br>PD $\geq 4$ mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq 2$ mm       | Risk for peri-implantitis<br>(implant level)<br>Diabetic at baseline: RR<br>3.0 (unadjusted<br>analysis)                         |

(Continues)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

| Study                            | Type of study                                       | Study sample                                                           | Diabetes                                                       | Peri-implantitis                                            | Association     |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Derks et al. 2016 <sup>52</sup>  | Cross-sectional<br>9 years                          | 588 patients<br>254 non-diabetic patients<br>14 patients with diabetes | Patient records/Patient-reported<br>(prior to implant therapy) | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss >2 mm              | No association. |
| Rokn et al. 2017 <sup>104</sup>  | Cross-sectional<br>1 to 11 years<br>mean: 4.4 years | 134 patients<br>130 non-diabetic patients<br>4 patients with diabetes  | Patient records/Patient-reported                               | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level >2 mm             | No association. |
| Dalago et al. 2017 <sup>99</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>1 to 14 years                    | 183 patients<br>167 non-diabetic patients<br>16 patients with diabetes | Patient records/Patient-reported<br>(prior to implant therapy) | Case definition<br>PD >5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level >2 mm | No association. |

Conclusion: Available evidence is inconclusive as to whether diabetes is a risk factor/indicator for peri-implantitis.

### Poor plaque control/lack of regular maintenance therapy

As demonstrated in classical studies on periodontal diseases, lack of regular maintenance therapy is associated with tooth mortality and clinical attachment loss at teeth.<sup>26,118-121</sup> These findings have highlighted the importance of self-performed and professionally-administered infection control measures in the prevention of periodontal diseases. Studies on the potential association between poor plaque control or lack of regular maintenance therapy and peri-implantitis are presented in Table 5.

Results from one longitudinal study including patients diagnosed with mucositis indicated the importance of plaque control in the prevention of peri-implantitis.<sup>17</sup> The analysis showed that the incidence of peri-implantitis over a 5-year period was lower in patients attending maintenance therapy (18%) when compared to individuals without supportive care (44%). These findings are in agreement with Rocuzzo et al.<sup>90</sup> The authors reported that patients who, during a 10-year period, failed to adhere to the recommended maintenance therapy required substantially more treatment for peri-implantitis (41%) than those attending the follow-up visits (27%). Results from a cross-sectional study are also in agreement. Patients complying to maintenance therapy following implant therapy during a mean observation time of 3.8 years were less likely to be diagnosed with peri-implantitis than non-compliers (OR 0.14).<sup>122</sup>

Cross-sectional reports assessing self-performed plaque control and its association with peri-implantitis demonstrated a strong correlation. In four studies, poor plaque control at the final examination was the strongest statistical predictor for peri-implantitis with ORs ranging from 5 to 14.<sup>29,102,104,111</sup> A more modest association (ORs 3 to 4) was described by one additional cross-sectional<sup>105</sup> and one case-control study.<sup>97</sup>

Contradictory data have also been reported. A total of four publications were identified that failed to observe correlations between cross-sectional assessments of plaque scores and peri-implantitis.<sup>93,95,103,106</sup> In this context, it should be considered that a one-time assessment of plaque may not necessarily reflect the long-term level of self-performed plaque control.

Other factors related to oral hygiene measures at implants may also be considered. Recently, Souza et al. reported that brushing at implant sites with keratinized mucosa (KM) <2 mm was associated with considerably more discomfort when compared to brushing at sites with KM ≥2 mm.<sup>123</sup> The authors also noted higher scores for plaque and bleeding at sites with reduced KM. Serino and Ström evaluated the accessibility of implant-supported restorations for oral hygiene measures in patients diagnosed with peri-implantitis.<sup>124</sup> The authors noted that only few sites with access for oral hygiene were affected (18%), while 65% of the non-cleansable sites showed peri-implantitis.

**TABLE 5** Poor plaque control/lack of regular maintenance therapy and peri-implantitis

| Study                                                     | Type of study                                        | Study sample                                                                                                                               | Plaque control/maintenance therapy                                                                               | Peri-implantitis                                                                                                            | Association                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ferreira et al. 2006 <sup>102</sup>                       | Cross-sectional<br>0.5 to 5 years<br>mean: 3.5 years | 212 patients<br>43 patients with good plaque control<br>123 patients with poor plaque control<br>46 patients with very poor plaque control | Plaque score<br>(at final examination)                                                                           | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level (no threshold)                                                  | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>Poor plaque control: OR 3.8<br>Very poor plaque control: OR 14.3                        |
| Roos-Jansåker et al. 2006 <sup>92,93</sup>                | Cross-sectional<br>9 to 14 years<br>mean: 11.0 years | 216 patients<br>Number of patients with/without good plaque control not reported.                                                          | Presence of plaque at implant level (at final examination)                                                       | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 1.8 mm                                                                      | No association.                                                                                                                      |
| Koldstrand et al. 2010 <sup>94</sup> & 2011 <sup>95</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>1 to 16 years<br>mean: 8.4 years  | 103 patients<br>10 patients with plaque score $\geq$ 30%<br>93 patients with plaque score < 30%                                            | Plaque score and presence of plaque at implant level (at final examination)<br>Recall visits<br>Patient-reported | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 2 mm                                                      | No association.                                                                                                                      |
| Rinke et al. 2011 <sup>110</sup>                          | Cross-sectional<br>2 to 11 years<br>mean: 5.7 years  | 89 patients<br>58 patients attending recommended maintenance visits<br>31 patients not attending recommended maintenance visits            | Maintenance therapy                                                                                              | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 4 mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone loss $\geq$ 3.5 mm                                                        | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>Regular maintenance therapy: OR 0.09                                                    |
| Dvorak et al. 2011 <sup>106</sup>                         | Cross-sectional<br>1 to 24 years<br>mean: 6.0 years  | 177 patients<br>Number of patients with/without good plaque control not reported.                                                          | Presence of plaque at implant level (at final examination)                                                       | Case definition<br>PD > 4 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss/level (no threshold)                                                  | No association.                                                                                                                      |
| Costa et al. 2012 <sup>17</sup>                           | Cohort study<br>5 years                              | 80 patients with mucositis<br>39 patients with maintenance therapy<br>41 patients without maintenance therapy                              | Maintenance therapy<br>Patient-reported and patient records<br>Plaque index (at final examination)               | Case definition<br>PD $\geq$ 5 mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level (no threshold)                                                  | Odds for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>No maintenance therapy: OR 1.8                                                          |
| Roccuzzo et al. 2010 <sup>91</sup> and 2012 <sup>90</sup> | Cohort study<br>10 years                             | 101 patients<br>79 patients adhering to maintenance therapy<br>22 patients not adhering to maintenance therapy                             | Maintenance therapy                                                                                              | Case definition for peri-implantitis not reported.<br>Treatment for peri-implantitis (surgery and/or systemic antibiotics). | Treatment for peri-implantitis (patient level)<br>Adherence to maintenance therapy: 27%<br>Non-adherence to maintenance therapy: 41% |

(Continues)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

| Study                                      | Type of study                                              | Study sample                                                                                                                   | Plaque control/maintenance therapy                                                                        | Peri-implantitis                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Association                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Marrone et al. 2013 <sup>103</sup>         | Cross-sectional<br>5 to 18 years<br>mean: 8.5 years        | 103 patients<br>16 patients with plaque score $\geq 30\%$<br>87 patients with plaque score $< 30\%$                            | Plaque index<br>(at final examination)                                                                    | Case definition<br>PD $> 5$ mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone level $> 2$ mm                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | No association.                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Aguirre-Zorzano et al. 2015 <sup>111</sup> | Cross-sectional<br>6 months to 17 years<br>mean: 5.3 years | 239 patients<br>50 patients with plaque score $\geq 25\%$<br>189 patients with plaque score $< 25\%$                           | Plaque index<br>(at final examination)                                                                    | Case definition<br>BOP+<br>Bone loss $> 1.5$ mm                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Odds for peri-implantitis<br>(implant level)<br>Plaque $\geq 25\%$ : OR 5.4                                                                                                                             |
| de Araujo Nobre et al. 2015 <sup>97</sup>  | Case-control<br>$\geq 1$ year                              | 1275 patients<br>Plaque present in 108/255 cases<br>Plaque present in 67/1020 controls                                         | Presence of plaque at patient level<br>(at final examination)                                             | Case definition<br>PD $\geq 5$ mm<br>BOP+<br>Bone loss $\geq 2$ mm                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Odds for peri-implantitis<br>(patient level)<br>Plaque: OR 3.6                                                                                                                                          |
| Canullo et al. 2016 <sup>105</sup>         | Cross-sectional<br>mean: 5.1 years                         | 534 patients<br>Number of patients with/without<br>good plaque control not<br>reported.                                        | Plaque index<br>(at final examination)                                                                    | Case definition<br>PD $\geq 4$ mm<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level $> 3$ mm                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Odds for peri-implantitis<br>(patient level)<br>Plaque $> 30\%$ : OR 3.4                                                                                                                                |
| Derks et al. 2016 <sup>52</sup>            | Cross-sectional<br>9 years                                 | 588 patients<br>474 patients attending annual<br>maintenance visits<br>101 patients not attending annual<br>maintenance visits | Recall visits<br>Patient records                                                                          | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone loss $> 2$ mm                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | No association.                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Rokn et al. 2017 <sup>104</sup>            | Cross-sectional<br>1 to 11 years<br>mean: 4.4 years        | 134 patients<br>Number of patients with/without<br>good plaque control not<br>reported.                                        | Plaque index<br>(at final examination)                                                                    | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Bone level $> 2$ mm                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Odds for peri-implantitis<br>(implant level)<br>Plaque index (categorization not reported): OR 5.4                                                                                                      |
| Schwarz et al. 2017 <sup>29</sup>          | Cross-sectional<br>1 month to 6.7 years<br>mean: 2.2 years | 238 patients<br>Number of patients with/without<br>good plaque control not<br>reported.                                        | Plaque index<br>(at final examination)                                                                    | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Changes in the radiographic bone<br>level compared to baseline (i.e.<br>prosthesis installation)                                                                                                                                                | Odds for peri-implantitis<br>(patient level)<br>Plaque $\geq 33\%$ : OR 9.3                                                                                                                             |
| Monje et al. 2017 <sup>122</sup>           | Cross-sectional<br>3 to 4.5 years mean: 3.8<br>years       | 115 patients<br>Patients categorized according to<br>frequency of maintenance visits                                           | Plaque index<br>(at final examination)<br>Recall visits<br>Patient records on early marginal<br>bone loss | Case definition<br>BOP/SUP+<br>Changes in the radiographic bone<br>level ( $\geq 2$ mm) compared to<br>baseline (i.e. prosthesis<br>installation)<br>Alternative case definitions were<br>further explored (i.e. $\geq 3$ mm and<br>$\geq 4$ mm with signs of<br>inflammation) | Prevalence of<br>peri-implantitis:<br>Regular compliers: 72.7%<br>were healthy, 4.5% had<br>peri-implantitis.<br>Non-compliers: 53.5%<br>were healthy, and<br>23.9% had peri-implan-<br>titis (OR=0.14) |

Conclusion: There is evidence that poor plaque control and lack of regular maintenance therapy constitute risk factors/indicators for peri-implantitis.

## Areas of future research

### Keratinized mucosa

The evidence that there is a need of a keratinized mucosa (KM) to maintain peri-implant health is still limited.<sup>125,126</sup> Previous systematic reviews have indicated that a KM of <2 mm was associated with more plaque accumulation and peri-implant soft tissue inflammation when compared with implants that were surrounded by a KM of  $\geq 2$  mm.<sup>126,127</sup> In particular, a meta-analysis pointed to statistically significant differences in terms of plaque scores, modified gingival index, mucosal recession and attachment loss in favour of sites with a wider KM.<sup>127</sup>

These findings were also supported by recent observational studies.<sup>105,123,128–130</sup> In a cross-sectional analysis, Ladwein et al. evaluated 211 patients ( $n = 967$  implants) after a mean observation period of 8 years.<sup>130</sup> Implant sites lacking KM were associated with significantly higher plaque scores, marginal bleeding and BOP scores than sites with KM. However, no significant differences were noted with regard to PD and radiographic bone levels.

Another cross-sectional analysis of 36 patients ( $n = 110$  implants) after an observation period of at least 6 months also pointed to significantly more plaque, marginal bleeding and mucosal inflammation as well as greater mucosal recession at sites where KM was  $\leq 2$  mm.<sup>129</sup> Souza et al. observed that implant sites with a KM of <2 mm had significantly higher plaque and BOP scores and were associated with an increased brushing discomfort than implant sites with a KM of  $\geq 2$  mm.<sup>123</sup> This finding was also supported by data from another cross-sectional analysis ( $n = 60$  patients) indicating that implants with a KM of <2 mm revealed a significantly higher levels of plaque accumulation as well as increased BOP+ and PD values when compared with implant sites with a KM of  $\geq 2$  mm.<sup>128</sup> Canullo et al. reported that periodontally healthy patients diagnosed with peri-implantitis (53 out of 534 patients) had higher plaque and BOP scores as well as higher percentages of implants with a KM of <2 mm.<sup>105</sup> Recently, in a cross-sectional analysis at 10 years after implant placement, Rocuzzo et al. reported that, even in patients with a sufficient oral hygiene, the absence of KM was associated with higher plaque scores.<sup>131</sup>

Conclusion: While studies suggest that the absence or a reduced width of KM may negatively affect self-performed oral hygiene measures, there is limited evidence that this factor constitutes a risk for peri-implantitis.

### Excess cement

Several observational studies have reported on a correlation between excess cement and the prevalence of peri-implant diseases. Employing a variety of different case definitions, it was suggested that the presence of excess cement was closely linked to the occurrence of either peri-implant mucositis or peri-implantitis.<sup>132–136</sup>

However, the proportions of diseased implant sites showing showing excess cement varied considerably among studies and ranged between 9% and 81%. Accordingly, several implant sites showing excess cement exhibited no disease.<sup>132–136</sup> Furthermore, cement-retained restorations were not found to be at higher risk for peri-implantitis when compared to screw-retained reconstructions.<sup>52,101,103,137</sup> Nevertheless, a systematic review emphasized that the rough surface structure of cement remnants may facilitate retention and biofilm formation.<sup>138</sup>

Conclusion: It is suggested that excess cement is a potential risk factor/indicator for peri-implantitis.

### Genetic factors

Gene polymorphisms may affect gene expression, protein production and cytokine secretion.<sup>139</sup> Several observational studies have addressed the potential association between various gene polymorphisms and the occurrence of peri-implantitis, with the majority focussing on IL-1.<sup>140–144</sup> Based on a cross-sectional analysis, Gruica et al. reported that 64 out of 180 patients revealed a positive IL-1 composite gene polymorphism (IL-1 $\alpha$  +4845; IL-1 $\beta$  +3954) and a total of 34 patients (51 implants) were associated with biological complications (unclear case definition) at 8 to 15 years after implant therapy.<sup>141</sup> An association between a positive IL-1 composite gene polymorphism and the occurrence of biological complications was, however, observed only in a subgroup of heavy smokers ( $\geq 20$  cigarettes per day). In another cross-sectional analysis, Laine et al. identified a significantly higher prevalence of IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) polymorphisms in patients that were diagnosed with peri-implantitis (case definition: BOP+ and/or suppuration, bone loss >3 threads at machined implants) when compared with patients showing healthy control implants (57% vs. 33%; OR 3).<sup>140</sup> Similar findings were reported by Hamdy and Ebrahim, showing that a positive IL-1 composite gene polymorphism (IL-1 $\alpha$  -889; IL-1 $\beta$  +3954) was significantly higher among patients suffering from peri-implantitis.<sup>143</sup> However, this association was not confirmed in other cross-sectional analyses.<sup>142,144,145</sup> Recent observational studies have also pointed to a potential association with gene polymorphisms of osteoprotegerin,<sup>146,147</sup> IL-6,<sup>148</sup> CD14-159 C/T and TNF $\alpha$  -308 A/G.<sup>149</sup>

Conclusion: While prospective clinical studies and studies with sufficient sample size are still lacking, the available evidence points to a potential influence of various gene polymorphisms in the pathogenesis of peri-implantitis.

### Systemic conditions

The association of systemic conditions (other than diabetes) with peri-implantitis has rarely been studied and is therefore unclear. A cross-sectional study reported a higher risk for peri-implantitis in patients diagnosed with cardiovascular disease (OR 9) and rheumatoid arthritis (OR 7).<sup>98</sup> Koldslund et al. evaluated cardiovascular disease but failed to observe an association with peri-implantitis.<sup>95</sup> Roos-Jans aker et al.,<sup>93</sup> Casado et al.,<sup>96</sup> and Canullo et al.<sup>105</sup> combined different systemic diseases into one parameter and found no

elevated risk for peri-implantitis in their respective analyses. Other studies considered osteoporosis,<sup>100,106</sup> osteopenia,<sup>100,106</sup> thyroid disease,<sup>99,106</sup> hepatitis,<sup>99,103</sup> BMI<sup>100</sup> as well as radiation and chemotherapy.<sup>97</sup> No association with peri-implantitis was observed. It may be questioned whether existing studies evaluating risk factors/indicators for peri-implantitis are adequately powered to detect associations with rare disorders.

Conclusion: Evidence suggesting systemic conditions (other than diabetes) to be a risk factor/indicator for peri-implantitis is limited.

## Iatrogenic factors

The Consensus report of the 7<sup>th</sup> European Workshop on Periodontology recognized that the onset and progression of peri-implantitis may be influenced by iatrogenic factors such as "inadequate restoration-abutment seating, overcontouring of restorations or implant-malpositioning".<sup>1</sup> It appears reasonable that the implant position and design of the suprastructure should facilitate access for self-performed oral hygiene and professionally administered plaque removal.<sup>3</sup> However, studies examining the role of iatrogenic factors in the development of peri-implant diseases are still scarce.

In a retrospective analysis, it was suggested that peri-implantitis was linked with malpositioning (OR 48) and bone augmentation (OR 2).<sup>150</sup> The potential association between bone augmentation procedures and peri-implantitis was also addressed in two cross-sectional studies.<sup>105,151</sup> Canullo et al. reported that in patients (n = 53) diagnosed with peri-implantitis (case definition: BOP+ and/or suppuration, PD ≥4 mm, radiographic bone level >3 mm), 18% of the diseased implants had received a bone grafting procedure at installation while the percentage of healthy implants sites with a history of bone augmentation was significantly smaller (7%).<sup>105</sup>

In another cross-sectional study, Schwarz et al. evaluated the impact of the outcome of guided bone regeneration in dehiscence-type bone defects on peri-implant health.<sup>151</sup> The residual defect height was assessed 4 months following grafting. After 4 years of follow-up, it was observed that implants with residual defects of >1 mm were at a higher risk of developing peri-implant disease.

Conclusion: In the absence of sufficient data, it appears reasonable to suggest that implant position and design of the suprastructure may influence the access for home care- and professionally administered plaque removal.

## Occlusal overload

In the presence of plaque, the potential influence of excessive occlusal overload<sup>152</sup> and lateral static load<sup>153</sup> on peri-implantitis has been addressed in animal studies. In particular, employing the ligature model in dogs, Kozlovsky et al. subjected titanium abutments connected to machined implants to either a supra- (i.e. overload), or infra-occlusion (i.e. unloaded) over a period of 12 weeks.<sup>152</sup> At control sites (i.e. implants with plaque control), overload was associated with an improved osseointegration over unloaded implants. No data on changes of crestal bone levels were presented. In the study by Gotfredsen et al.,

implants with mucositis and experimental peri-implantitis were exposed to lateral static load by means of expansion screws.<sup>153</sup> There was no difference in terms of bone level changes between loaded and unloaded implants. Lateral load did not induce bone loss at mucositis sites. These findings were supported by Heitz-Mayfield et al.,<sup>154</sup> since in their study occlusal overload at implant sites with plaque control in the dog did not result in increased PD or BOP scores over unloaded (i.e. no crowns) control implants at 8 months.

Cross-sectional analysis revealed that clinical signs of occlusal overload (e.g. abutment fracture, loss of retention, chipping, dynamic occlusal measurements) were identified at three out of 207 implants with healthy peri-implant conditions, whereas the ratio changed to 27/125 at peri-implantitis sites (OR 19).<sup>150</sup> It should be noted that only patients diagnosed with peri-implantitis were considered in the analysis. In a population of 183 patients with a total of 916 implants, Dalago et al.<sup>99</sup> identified that wear facets on the implant supported crowns were associated with peri-implantitis (OR 2).

Conclusion: There is currently no evidence that occlusal overload constitutes a risk factor/indicator for the onset or progression of peri-implantitis.

## Titanium particles

In an analysis of archive material of human biopsies, it was reported that the inflammatory cell infiltrate at peri-implantitis sites occasionally (i.e. seven out of 36 biopsies) revealed residues of particles featuring titanium peaks in the energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy.<sup>32</sup> Similar findings were also reported by Fretwurst et al.,<sup>155</sup> since metal particles (i.e. titanium and iron) were identified in nine out of 12 human hard and soft tissue biopsies taken at peri-implantitis sites. Both studies, however, were lacking tissue biopsies retrieved from clinically healthy implant sites (e.g. taken during the removal of malpositioned or fractured implants).

In a cytological analysis of oral smears taken from the peri-implant mucosa of 30 patients, Olmedo et al. identified metal-like particles at both healthy and diseased (i.e. peri-implantitis) implant sites.<sup>156</sup> However, the titanium concentration appeared to be higher in patients suffering from peri-implantitis.

Conclusion: At the time being, the available evidence does not allow for an evaluation of the role of titanium or metal particles in the pathogenesis of peri-implant diseases.

A number of additional factors have been associated with peri-implantitis in case reports, finite-element analyses or pre-clinical research (e.g. bone compression necrosis,<sup>157,158</sup> over-heating,<sup>159</sup> micromotion,<sup>160</sup> and biocorrosion<sup>161</sup>). The importance of such factors should be evaluated in future research.

## Does progressive crestal bone loss around implants occur in the absence of soft tissue inflammation?

It is important to distinguish between initial physiological bone remodeling and progressive crestal peri-implant bone loss, with the latter implying that a pathological process is ongoing. The initial

remodeling of the crestal bone is considered to be a physiological process following implant placement.<sup>1</sup> This process is influenced by a variety of biological (e.g. mucosal thickness<sup>162</sup>), technical (e.g. prosthetic connections<sup>163</sup>) and surgical (e.g. implant positioning<sup>164,165</sup>) factors.

Observational studies have indicated that crestal bone level changes at implants are commonly associated with clinical signs of inflammation. In a retrospective analysis, Fransson et al. evaluated the prevalence of subjects with progressive bone loss (bone level >3 threads and bone loss  $\geq 0.6$  mm with year 1 as baseline) at machined/turned implants.<sup>56</sup> Between 5 and 23 years after loading, the prevalence of progressive bone loss amounted to 28% at the subject- and 12% at the implant level. In an analysis of a subgroup of these patients, clinical signs of inflammation (i.e. BOP+, suppuration, PD >6 mm) were more frequent at sites demonstrating "progressive bone loss".<sup>55</sup> In particular, the percentages of BOP+, suppuration and PD  $\geq 6$  mm at implant sites without progressive bone loss were 91%, 5%, and 12% compared to 94%, 19%, and 35% at implant sites with progressive bone loss.

In another cross-sectional analysis including 427 patients, Derks et al. observed that, over a 9-year period, bone loss (>0.5 mm) had occurred at 629 (40%) out of 1,578 implants.<sup>52</sup> Of these 629 implants, 393 (63%) also presented with soft tissue inflammation (BOP+) at the final examination. At implants presenting with more pronounced bone loss (>1, >2, >3, >4 mm), BOP+ was recorded at 72%, 80%, 87%, and 88%, respectively.

Similarly, a prospective analysis of implants with a modified surface over a period of 10 years indicated, that crestal bone level changes (>0.5; >1.0; >2.0 mm) were commonly associated with clinical signs of inflammation (BOP+).<sup>166,167</sup>

Conclusion: Evidence suggests that progressive crestal bone loss around implants in the absence of clinical signs of soft tissue inflammation is a rare event.

## CONCLUSIONS

- 1) Peri-implantitis is defined as a pathological condition occurring in tissues around dental implants, characterized by inflammation in the peri-implant connective tissue and progressive loss of supporting bone.
- 2) The histopathologic and clinical conditions leading to the conversion from peri-implant mucositis to peri-implantitis are not completely understood.
- 3) The onset of peri-implantitis may occur early during follow-up and the disease progresses in a non-linear and accelerating pattern.
- 4a) Peri-implantitis sites exhibit clinical signs of inflammation and increased probing depths compared to baseline measurements.
- 4b) At the histologic level, compared to periodontitis sites, peri-implantitis sites often have larger inflammatory lesions.
- 4c) Surgical entry at peri-implantitis sites often reveals a circumferential pattern of bone loss.
- 5a) There is strong evidence that there is an increased risk of developing peri-implantitis in patients who have a history of chronic

periodontitis, poor plaque control skills and no regular maintenance care after implant therapy. Data identifying "smoking" and "diabetes" as potential risk factors/indicators for peri-implantitis are inconclusive.

- 5b) There is some limited evidence linking peri-implantitis to other factors such as: post-restorative presence of submucosal cement, lack of peri-implant keratinized mucosa and positioning of implants that make it difficult to perform oral hygiene and maintenance.
- 6) Evidence suggests that progressive crestal bone loss around implants in the absence of clinical signs of soft tissue inflammation is a rare event.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This narrative review was self-funded by the authors and their institutions. Frank Schwarz has received research grants and lecture fees from the Oral Reconstruction Foundation (Basel, Switzerland), Electro Medical Systems (Nyon, Switzerland), Geistlich Pharma (Wolhusen, Switzerland), Institute Straumann (Basel, Switzerland) and ITI (Basel, Switzerland). Alberto Monje has received a scholarship from ITI, education/research grants from Osteology Foundation (Luzerne, Switzerland), ITI and Mozo Grau (Valladolid, Spain) and lecture fees from Institute Straumann and ITI. Jan Derks has received lecture fees from DENTSPLY Implants (Mölnal, Sweden) and ITI. Hom-Lay Wang receives research grants from BioHorizons (Birmingham, Alabama) and Osteogenics Biomedical (Lubbock, Texas) for conducting research at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, as well as lecture honoraria from BioHorizons, Neobiotech (Seoul, South Korea), Botiss Biomaterials (Zossen, Germany), TRI Dental Implants (Hünenberg, Switzerland), Osteogenics Biomedical, and Institute Straumann.

## REFERENCES

1. Lang NP, Berglundh T, Working Group 4 of Seventh European Workshop on P. Periimplant diseases: where are we now?—Consensus of the Seventh European Workshop on Periodontology. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2011;38 Suppl. 11:178–181.
2. Sanz M, Chapple IL, Working Group 4 of the VEWO. Clinical research on peri-implant diseases: consensus report of Working Group 4. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2012;39 Suppl 12:202–206.
3. Jepsen S, Berglundh T, Genco R, et al. Primary prevention of peri-implantitis: managing peri-implant mucositis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2015;42 Suppl. 16:S152–157.
4. Lindhe J, Meyle J, Group DoEWOP. Peri-implant diseases: consensus report of the Sixth European Workshop on Periodontology. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2008;35 Suppl. 8:282–285.
5. Tomasi C, Derks J. Clinical research of peri-implant diseases—quality of reporting, case definitions and methods to study incidence, prevalence and risk factors of peri-implant diseases. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2012;39:207–223.
6. Berglundh T, Lindhe J, Marinello C, Ericsson I, Liljenberg B. Soft tissue reaction to de novo plaque formation on implants and teeth. An experimental study in the dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 1992;3:1–8.
7. Schwarz F, Mihatovic I, Golubovic V, Eick S, Iglhaut T, Becker J. Experimental peri-implant mucositis at different implant surfaces. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2014;41:513–520.

8. Schou S, Holmstrup P, Stoltze K, Hjorting-Hansen E, Fiehn NE, Skovgaard LT. Probing around implants and teeth with healthy or inflamed peri-implant mucosa/gingiva. A histologic comparison in cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*). *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2002;13:113–126.
9. Ericsson I, Berglundh T, Marinello C, Liljenberg B, Lindhe J. Long-standing plaque and gingivitis at implants and teeth in the dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1992;3:99–103.
10. Ericsson I, Persson LG, Berglundh T, Marinello CP, Lindhe J, Klinge B. Different types of inflammatory reactions in peri-implant soft tissues. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1995;22:255–261.
11. Lang NP, Wetzel AC, Stich H, Caffesse RG. Histologic probe penetration in healthy and inflamed peri-implant tissues. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1994;5:191–201.
12. Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Soft tissue response to plaque formation at different implant systems. A comparative study in the dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1998;9:73–79.
13. Zitzmann NU, Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Soft tissue reactions to plaque formation at implant abutments with different surface topography. An experimental study in dogs. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2002;29:456–461.
14. Salvi GE, Aglietta M, Eick S, Sculean A, Lang NP, Ramseier CA. Reversibility of experimental peri-implant mucositis compared with experimental gingivitis in humans. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2012;23:182–190.
15. Pontoriero R, Tonelli MP, Carnevale G, Mombelli A, Nyman SR, Lang NP. Experimentally induced peri-implant mucositis. A clinical study in humans. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1994;5:254–259.
16. Zitzmann NU, Berglundh T, Marinello CP, Lindhe J. Experimental peri-implant mucositis in man. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2001;28:517–523.
17. Costa FO, Takenaka-Martinez S, Cota LO, Ferreira SD, Silva GL, Costa JE. Peri-implant disease in subjects with and without preventive maintenance: a 5-year follow-up. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2012;39:173–181.
18. Rovin S, Costich ER, Gordon HA. The influence of bacteria and irritation in the initiation of periodontal disease in germfree and conventional rats. *J Periodontol Res*. 1966;1:193–204.
19. Lindhe J, Berglundh T, Ericsson I, Liljenberg B, Marinello C. Experimental breakdown of peri-implant and periodontal tissues. A study in the beagle dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1992;3:9–16.
20. Schwarz F, Sculean A, Engebretson SP, Becker J, Sager M. Animal models for peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis. *Periodontol* 2000 2015;68:168–181.
21. Carcuac O, Abrahamsson I, Albouy JP, Linder E, Larsson L, Berglundh T. Experimental periodontitis and peri-implantitis in dogs. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2013;24:363–371.
22. Albouy JP, Abrahamsson I, Persson LG, Berglundh T. Spontaneous progression of ligature induced peri-implantitis at implants with different surface characteristics. An experimental study in dogs II: histological observations. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2009;20:366–371.
23. Albouy JP, Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T. Spontaneous progression of experimental peri-implantitis at implants with different surface characteristics: an experimental study in dogs. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2012;39:182–187.
24. Derks J, Schaller D, Hakansson J, Wennstrom JL, Tomasi C, Berglundh T. Peri-implantitis—onset and pattern of progression. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2016; 43:383–388.
25. Fransson C, Tomasi C, Pikner SS, et al. Severity and pattern of peri-implantitis-associated bone loss. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2010;37:442–448.
26. Axelsson P, Lindhe J. Effect of controlled oral hygiene procedures on caries and periodontal disease in adults. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1978;5:133–151.
27. Loe H, Anerud A, Boysen H, Smith M. The natural history of periodontal disease in man. The rate of periodontal destruction before 40 years of age. *J Periodontol*. 1978;49:607–620.
28. Schätzle M, Loe H, Lang NP, et al. Clinical course of chronic periodontitis. III. Patterns, variations and risks of attachment loss. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2003;30:909–918.
29. Schwarz F, Becker K, Sahm N, Horstkemper T, Rousi K, Becker J. The prevalence of peri-implant diseases for two-piece implants with an internal tube-in-tube connection: a cross-sectional analysis of 512 implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2017;28:24–28.
30. Becker J, John G, Becker K, Mainusch S, Diedrichs G, Schwarz F. Clinical performance of two-piece zirconia implants in the posterior mandible and maxilla: a prospective cohort study over 2 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2017;28:29–35.
31. Berglundh T, Zitzmann NU, Donati M. Are peri-implantitis lesions different from periodontitis lesions? *J Clin Periodontol*. 2011;38 Suppl 11:188–202.
32. Wilson TG, Jr., Valderrama P, Burbano M, et al. Foreign bodies associated with peri-implantitis human biopsies. *J Periodontol*. 2015;86:9–15.
33. Sanz M, Alandez J, Lazaro P, Calvo JL, Quirynen M, van Steenberghe D. Histo-pathologic characteristics of peri-implant soft tissues in Branemark implants with 2 distinct clinical and radiological patterns. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1991;2:128–134.
34. Cornelini R, Artese L, Rubini C, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor and microvessel density around healthy and failing dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2001;16:389–393.
35. Gualini F, Berglundh T. Immunohistochemical characteristics of inflammatory lesions at implants. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2003;30:14–18.
36. Bullon P, Fioroni M, Goteri G, Rubini C, Battino M. Immunohistochemical analysis of soft tissues in implants with healthy and peri-implantitis condition, and aggressive periodontitis. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2004;15:553–559.
37. Kontinen YT, Lappalainen R, Laine P, Kitt U, Santavirta S, Teronen O. Immunohistochemical evaluation of inflammatory mediators in failing implants. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 2006;26:135–141.
38. Berglundh T, Gislason O, Lekholm U, Sennerby L, Lindhe J. Histopathological observations of human periimplantitis lesions. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2004;31:341–347.
39. Carcuac O, Berglundh T. Composition of human peri-implantitis and periodontitis lesions. *J Dent Res*. 2014;93:1083–1088.
40. Casado PL, Otazu IB, Balduino A, de Mello W, Barboza EP, Duarte ME. Identification of periodontal pathogens in healthy periimplant sites. *Implant Dent*. 2011;20:226–235.
41. Renvert S, Roos-Jansaker AM, Lindahl C, Renvert H, Rutger Persson G. Infection at titanium implants with or without a clinical diagnosis of inflammation. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2007;18:509–516.
42. Persson GR, Renvert S. Cluster of bacteria associated with peri-implantitis. *J Periodontol Res*. 2016;51(6):689–698.
43. Leonhardt A, Renvert S, Dahlen G. Microbial findings at failing implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1999;10:339–345.
44. Mombelli A, Decaillet F. The characteristics of biofilms in peri-implant disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2011;38 Suppl 11:203–213.
45. Schwarz F, Becker K, Rahn S, Hegewald A, Pfeffer K, Henrich B. Real-time PCR analysis of fungal organisms and bacterial species at peri-implantitis sites. *Int J Implant Dent*. 2015;1:9.
46. Albertini M, Lopez-Cerero L, O'Sullivan MG, et al. Assessment of periodontal and opportunistic flora in patients with peri-implantitis. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2015;26:937–941.
47. Jankovic S, Aleksic Z, Dimitrijevic B, Lekovic V, Camargo P, Kenney B. Prevalence of human cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus in subgingival plaque at peri-implantitis, mucositis and healthy sites. A pilot study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2011;40:271–276.
48. Rakic M, Grusovin MG, Canullo L. The microbiologic profile associated with peri-implantitis in humans: a systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2016;31:359–368.
49. Padial-Molina M, Lopez-Martinez J, O'Valle F, Galindo-Moreno P. Microbial profiles and detection techniques in peri-implant diseases: a systematic review. *J Oral Maxillofac Res*. 2016;7:e10.

50. Faot F, Nascimento GG, Bielemann AM, Campao TD, Leite FR, Quirynen M. Can peri-implant crevicular fluid assist in the diagnosis of peri-implantitis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Periodontol*. 2015;86:631–645.
51. Duarte PM, Serrao CR, Miranda TS, et al. Could cytokine levels in the peri-implant crevicular fluid be used to distinguish between healthy implants and implants with peri-implantitis? A systematic review. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2015;26:937–941.
52. Derks J, Schaller D, Håkansson J, Wennström JL, Tomasi C, Berglundh T. Effectiveness of implant therapy analyzed in a Swedish population: prevalence of peri-implantitis. *J Dent Res*. 2016;95:43–49.
53. Fuchigami K, Munakata M, Kitazume T, Tachikawa N, Kasugai S, Kuroda S. A diversity of peri-implant mucosal thickness by site. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2017;28:171–176.
54. Schwarz F, Claus C, Becker K. Correlation between horizontal mucosal thickness and probing depths at healthy and diseased implant sites. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2017;28:1158–1163.
55. Fransson C, Wennstrom J, Berglundh T. Clinical characteristics at implants with a history of progressive bone loss. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2008;19:142–147.
56. Fransson C, Lekholm U, Jemt T, Berglundh T. Prevalence of subjects with progressive bone loss at implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2005;16:440–446.
57. Serino G, Turri A, Lang NP. Probing at implants with peri-implantitis and its relation to clinical peri-implant bone loss. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2013;24:91–95.
58. Schwarz F, Herten M, Sager M, Bieling K, Sculean A, Becker J. Comparison of naturally occurring and ligature-induced peri-implantitis bone defects in humans and dogs. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2007;18:161–170.
59. Garcia-Garcia M, Mir-Mari J, Benic GI, Figueiredo R, Valmaseda-Castellon E. Accuracy of periapical radiography in assessing bone level in implants affected by peri-implantitis: a cross-sectional study. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2016;43:85–91.
60. Piattelli A, Scarano A, Piattelli M, Podda G. Implant periapical lesions: clinical, histologic, and histochemical aspects. A case report. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 1998;18:181–187.
61. Ayangco L, Sheridan PJ. Development and treatment of retrograde peri-implantitis involving a site with a history of failed endodontic and apicoectomy procedures: a series of reports. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2001;16:412–417.
62. Flanagan D. Apical (retrograde) peri-implantitis: a case report of an active lesion. *J Oral Implantol*. 2002;28:92–96.
63. Quirynen M, Vogels R, Alsaadi G, Naert I, Jacobs R, van Steenberghe D. Predisposing conditions for retrograde peri-implantitis, and treatment suggestions. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2005;16:599–608.
64. Atallah K, Chee LF, Peng LL, Lung HH. Management of retrograde peri-implantitis: a clinical case report. *J Oral Implantol*. 2006;32:308–312.
65. Tozum TF, Sencimen M, Ortakoglu K, Ozdemir A, Aydin OC, Keles M. Diagnosis and treatment of a large periapical implant lesion associated with adjacent natural tooth: a case report. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2006;101:132–138.
66. Nedir R, Bischof M, Pujol O, Houriet R, Samson J, Lombardi T. Starch-induced implant periapical lesion: a case report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2007;22:1001–1006.
67. Steiner DR. The resolution of a periradicular lesion involving an implant. *J Endod*. 2008;34:330–335.
68. Mohamed JB, Alam MN, Singh G, Chandrasekaran SC. The management of retrograde peri-implantitis: a case report. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2012;6:1600–1602.
69. Waasdorp J, Reynolds M. Nonsurgical treatment of retrograde peri-implantitis: a case report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2010;25:831–833.
70. Chan HL, Wang HL, Bashutski JD, Edwards PC, Fu JH, Oh TJ. Retrograde peri-implantitis: a case report introducing an approach to its management. *J Periodontol*. 2011;82:1080–1088.
71. Penarrocha-Diago M, Maestre-Ferrin L, Penarrocha-Oltra D, Canullo L, Piattelli A, Penarrocha-Diago M. Inflammatory implant periapical lesion prior to osseointegration: a case series study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2013;28:158–162.
72. Kutlu HB, Genc T, Tozum TF. Treatment of refractory apical peri-implantitis: a case report. *J Oral Implantol*. 2016;42:104–109.
73. Moergel M, Karbach J, Kunkel M, Wagner W. Oral squamous cell carcinoma in the vicinity of dental implants. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2014;18:277–284.
74. Marini E, Spink MJ, Messina AM. Peri-implant primary squamous cell carcinoma: a case report with 5 years' follow-up. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2013;71:322–326.
75. Czerninski R, Kaplan I, Almozni G, Maly A, Regev E. Oral squamous cell carcinoma around dental implants. *Quintessence Int*. 2006;37:707–711.
76. Eguia del Valle A, Martinez-Conde Llamas R, Lopez Vicente J, Uribarri Etxebarria A, Aguirre Urizar JM. Primary oral squamous cell carcinoma arising around dental osseointegrated implants mimicking peri-implantitis. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2008;13:E489–491.
77. Pfammatter C, Lindenmuller IH, Lugli A, Filippi A, Kuhl S. Metastases and primary tumors around dental implants: A literature review and case report of peri-implant pulmonary metastasis. *Quintessence Int*. 2012;43:563–570.
78. Hirshberg A, Kozlovsky A, Schwartz-Arad D, Mardinger O, Kaplan I. Peripheral giant cell granuloma associated with dental implants. *J Periodontol*. 2003;74:1381–1384.
79. Hanselaer L, Cosyn J, Browaeys H, De Bruyn H. [Giant cell peripheral granuloma surrounding a dental implant: case report]. *Revue Belge de Medicine Dentaire* (1984) 2010;65:152–158.
80. Hernandez G, Lopez-Pintor RM, Torres J, de Vicente JC. Clinical outcomes of peri-implant peripheral giant cell granuloma: a report of three cases. *J Periodontol*. 2009;80:1184–1191.
81. Scarano A, Iezzi G, Artese L, Cimorelli E, Piattelli A. Peripheral giant cell granuloma associated with a dental implant. A case report. *Minerva Stomatol*. 2008;57:529–534.
82. Cloutier M, Charles M, Carmichael RP, Sandor GK. An analysis of peripheral giant cell granuloma associated with dental implant treatment. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endodontology*. 2007;103:618–622.
83. Bischof M, Nedir R, Lombardi T. Peripheral giant cell granuloma associated with a dental implant. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2004;19:295–299.
84. Ozden FO, Ozden B, Kurt M, Gunduz K, Gunhan O. Peripheral giant cell granuloma associated with dental implants: a rare case report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2009;24:1153–1156.
85. Penarrocha-Diago MA, Cervera-Ballester J, Maestre-Ferrin L, Penarrocha-Oltra D. Peripheral giant cell granuloma associated with dental implants: clinical case and literature review. *J Oral Implantol*. 2012;38 Spec No:527–532.
86. Kaplan I, Hirshberg A, Shlomi B, et al. The importance of histopathological diagnosis in the management of lesions presenting as peri-implantitis. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2015;17 Suppl 1:e126–133.
87. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabe E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJ, Marcenes W. Global burden of severe periodontitis in 1990–2010: a systematic review and meta-regression. *J Dent Res*. 2014;93:1045–1053.
88. Eke PI, Dye BA, Wei L, et al. Update on prevalence of periodontitis in adults in the United States: NHANES 2009 to 2012. *J Periodontol*. 2015;86:611–622.
89. Karoussis IK, Salvi GE, Heitz-Mayfield LJ, Bragger U, Hammerle CH, Lang NP. Long-term implant prognosis in patients with and without a history of chronic periodontitis: a 10-year prospective cohort study of the ITI Dental Implant System. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2003;14:329–339.

90. Rocuzzo M, Bonino F, Aglietta M, Dalmasso P. Ten-year results of a three arms prospective cohort study on implants in periodontally compromised patients. Part 2: clinical results. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2012;23:389–395.
91. Rocuzzo M, De Angelis N, Bonino L, Aglietta M. Ten-year results of a three-arm prospective cohort study on implants in periodontally compromised patients. Part 1: implant loss and radiographic bone loss. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2010;21:490–496.
92. Roos-Jansaker AM, Lindahl C, Renvert H, Renvert S. Nine- to fourteen-year follow-up of implant treatment. Part II: presence of peri-implant lesions. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2006;33:290–295.
93. Roos-Jansaker AM, Renvert H, Lindahl C, Renvert S. Nine- to fourteen-year follow-up of implant treatment. Part III: factors associated with peri-implant lesions. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2006;33:296–301.
94. Koldstad OC, Scheie AA, Aass AM. Prevalence of peri-implantitis related to severity of the disease with different degrees of bone loss. *J Periodontol.* 2010;81:231–238.
95. Koldstad OC, Scheie AA, Aass AM. The association between selected risk indicators and severity of peri-implantitis using mixed model analyses. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2011;38:285–292.
96. Casado PL, Pereira MC, Duarte ME, Granjeiro JM. History of chronic periodontitis is a high risk indicator for peri-implant disease. *Braz Dent J.* 2013;24:136–141.
97. de Araujo Nobre M, Mano Azul A, Rocha E, Malo P. Risk factors of peri-implant pathology. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2015;123:131–139.
98. Renvert S, Aghazadeh A, Hallstrom H, Persson GR. Factors related to peri-implantitis—a retrospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2014;25:522–529.
99. Dalago HR, Schuldt Filho G, Rodrigues MA, Renvert S, Bianchini MA. Risk indicators for peri-implantitis. A cross-sectional study with 916 implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2017;28:144–150.
100. Máximo MB, de Mendonca AC, Alves JF, Cortelli SC, Peruzzo DC, Duarte PM. Peri-implant diseases may be associated with increased time loading and generalized periodontal bone loss: preliminary results. *J Oral Implantol.* 2008;34:268–273.
101. Daubert DM, Weinstein BF, Bordin S, Leroux BG, Flemming TF. Prevalence and predictive factors for peri-implant disease and implant failure: a cross-sectional analysis. *J Periodontol.* 2015;86:337–347.
102. Ferreira SD, Silva GL, Cortelli JR, Costa JE, Costa FO. Prevalence and risk variables for peri-implant disease in Brazilian subjects. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2006;33:929–935.
103. Marrone A, Lasserre J, Bercy P, Brex MC. Prevalence and risk factors for peri-implant disease in Belgian adults. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2013;24:934–940.
104. Rohn A, Aslroosta H, Akbari S, Najafi H, Zayeri F, Hashemi K. Prevalence of peri-implantitis in patients not participating in well-designed supportive periodontal treatments: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2017;28:314–319.
105. Canullo L, Penarrocha-Oltra D, Covani U, Botticelli D, Serino G, Penarrocha M. Clinical and microbiological findings in patients with peri-implantitis: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2016;27:376–382.
106. Dvorak G, Arnhart C, Heuberger S, Huber CD, Watzek G, Gruber R. Peri-implantitis and late implant failures in postmenopausal women: a cross-sectional study. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2011;38:950–955.
107. Axelsson P, Paulander J, Lindhe J. Relationship between smoking and dental status in 35-, 50-, 65-, and 75-year-old individuals. *J Clin Periodontol.* 1998;25:297–305.
108. Tomar SL, Asma S. Smoking-attributable periodontitis in the United States: findings from NHANES III. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *J Periodontol.* 2000;71:743–751.
109. Lindquist LW, Carlsson GE, Jemt T. A prospective 15-year follow-up study of mandibular fixed prostheses supported by osseointegrated implants. Clinical results and marginal bone loss. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 1996;7:329–336.
110. Rinke S, Ohl S, Ziebolz D, Lange K, Eickholz P. Prevalence of periimplant disease in partially edentulous patients: a practice-based cross-sectional study. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2011;22:826–833.
111. Aguirre-Zorzano LA, Estefania-Fresco R, Telletxea O, Bravo M. Prevalence of peri-implant inflammatory disease in patients with a history of periodontal disease who receive supportive periodontal therapy. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2015;26:1338–1344.
112. Veiseth O, Langer R. Diabetes: A smart insulin patch. *Nature* 2015;524:39–40.
113. Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2010;87:4–14.
114. Global report on diabetes. World Health Organization. 2016.
115. Genco RJ, Borgnakke WS. Risk factors for periodontal disease. *Periodontol 2000* 2013;62:59–94.
116. Taylor GW, Borgnakke WS. Periodontal disease: associations with diabetes, glycemic control and complications. *Oral Dis.* 2008;14:191–203.
117. Tawil G, Younan R, Azar P, Sleilati G. Conventional and advanced implant treatment in the type II diabetic patient: surgical protocol and long-term clinical results. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2008;23:744–752.
118. Axelsson P, Lindhe J. The significance of maintenance care in the treatment of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol.* 1981;8:281–294.
119. Axelsson P, Nystrom B, Lindhe J. The long-term effect of a plaque control program on tooth mortality, caries and periodontal disease in adults. Results after 30 years of maintenance. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2004;31:749–757.
120. Wilson TG, Jr., Glover ME, Malik AK, Schoen JA, Dorsett D. Tooth loss in maintenance patients in a private periodontal practice. *J Periodontol.* 1987;58:231–235.
121. Becker W, Becker BE, Berg LE. Periodontal treatment without maintenance. A retrospective study in 44 patients. *J Periodontol.* 1984;55:505–509.
122. Monje A, Wang HL, Nart J. Association of preventive maintenance therapy compliance and peri-implant diseases: a cross-sectional study. *J Periodontol.* 2017;88:1030–1041.
123. Souza AB, Tormena M, Matarazzo F, Araujo MG. The influence of peri-implant keratinized mucosa on brushing discomfort and peri-implant tissue health. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2016;27:650–655.
124. Serino G, Strom C. Peri-implantitis in partially edentulous patients: association with inadequate plaque control. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2009;20:169–174.
125. Wennstrom JL, Derks J. Is there a need for keratinized mucosa around implants to maintain health and tissue stability? *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2012;23 Suppl 6:136–146.
126. Gobbato L, Avila-Ortiz G, Sohrabi K, Wang CW, Karimbux N. The effect of keratinized mucosa width on peri-implant health: a systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2013;28:1536–1545.
127. Lin GH, Chan HL, Wang HL. The significance of keratinized mucosa on implant health: a systematic review. *J Periodontol.* 2013;84:1755–1767.
128. Ueno D, Nagano T, Watanabe T, Shirakawa S, Yashima A, Gomi K. Effect of the keratinized mucosa width on the health status of periimplant and contralateral periodontal tissues: a cross-sectional study. *Implant Dent.* 2016;25:796–801.
129. Esfahanizadeh N, Daneshparvar N, Motallebi S, Akhondi N, Askarpour F, Davaie S. Do we need keratinized mucosa for a healthy peri-implant soft tissue? *Gen Dent.* 2016;64:51–55.
130. Ladwein C, Schmelzeisen R, Nelson K, Fluegge TV, Fretwurst T. Is the presence of keratinized mucosa associated with periimplant tissue health? A clinical cross-sectional analysis. *Int J Implant Dent.* 2015;1(1):11.
131. Rocuzzo M, Grasso G, Dalmasso P. Keratinized mucosa around implants in partially edentulous posterior mandible: 10-year results of a prospective comparative study. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2016;27:491–496.

132. Korsch M, Obst U, Walther W. Cement-associated peri-implantitis: a retrospective clinical observational study of fixed implant-supported restorations using a methacrylate cement. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2014;25:797–802.
133. Korsch M, Walther W. Peri-implantitis associated with type of cement: a retrospective analysis of different types of cement and their clinical correlation to the peri-implant tissue. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2015;17 Suppl 2:434–443.
134. Korsch M, Walther W, Bartols A. Cement-associated peri-implant mucositis. A 1-year follow-up after excess cement removal on the peri-implant tissue of dental implants. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2017;19:523–529.
135. Wilson TG, Jr. The positive relationship between excess cement and peri-implant disease: a prospective clinical endoscopic study. *J Periodontol.* 2009;80:1388–1392.
136. Linkevicius T, Puisys A, Vindasiute E, Linkeviciene L, Apse P. Does residual cement around implant-supported restorations cause peri-implant disease? A retrospective case analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2013;24:1179–1184.
137. Kotsakis GA, Zhang L, Gaillard P, Raedel M, Walter MH, Konstantinidis IK. Investigation of the association between cement retention and prevalent peri-implant diseases: a cross-sectional study. *J Periodontol.* 2016;87:212–220.
138. Staubli N, Walter C, Schmidt JC, Weiger R, Zitzmann NU. Excess cement and the risk of peri-implant disease - a systematic review. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2017;28:1278–1290.
139. Hart TC, Kornman KS. Genetic factors in the pathogenesis of periodontitis. *Periodontol 2000* 1997;14:202–215.
140. Laine ML, Leonhardt A, Roos-Jansaker AM, et al. IL-1RN gene polymorphism is associated with peri-implantitis. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2006;17:380–385.
141. Gruica B, Wang HY, Lang NP, Buser D. Impact of IL-1 genotype and smoking status on the prognosis of osseointegrated implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2004;15:393–400.
142. Garcia-Delaney C, Sanchez-Garcas MA, Figueiredo R, Sanchez-Torres A, Gay-Escoda C. Clinical significance of interleukin-1 genotype in smoking patients as a predictor of peri-implantitis: A case-control study. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2015;20:e737–743.
143. Hamdy AA, Ebrahim MA. The effect of interleukin-1 allele 2 genotype (IL-1a(-889) and IL-1b(+3954)) on the individual's susceptibility to peri-implantitis: case-control study. *J Oral Implantol.* 2011;37:325–334.
144. Lachmann S, Kimmeler-Muller E, Axmann D, Scheideler L, Weber H, Haas R. Associations between peri-implant crevicular fluid volume, concentrations of crevicular inflammatory mediators, and composite IL-1A -889 and IL-1B +3954 genotype. A cross-sectional study on implant recall patients with and without clinical signs of peri-implantitis. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2007;18:212–223.
145. Melo RF, Lopes BM, Shibli JA, Marcantonio E, Jr., Marcantonio RA, Galli GM. Interleukin-1beta and interleukin-6 expression and gene polymorphisms in subjects with peri-implant disease. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2012;14:905–914.
146. Kadkhodazadeh M, Tabari ZA, Ardakani MR, Ebadian AR, Brook A. Analysis of osteoprotegerin (OPG) gene polymorphism in Iranian patients with chronic periodontitis and peri-implantitis. A cross-sectional study. *Eur J Oral Implantol.* 2012;5:381–388.
147. Zhou J, Zhao Y. Osteoprotegerin gene (OPG) polymorphisms associated with peri-implantitis susceptibility in a Chinese Han population. *Med Sci Monit.* 2016;22:4271–4276.
148. Casado PL, Villas-Boas R, de Mello W, Duarte ME, Granjeiro JM. Peri-implant disease and chronic periodontitis: is interleukin-6 gene promoter polymorphism the common risk factor in a Brazilian population? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2013;28:35–43.
149. Rakic M, Petkovic-Curcin A, Struillou X, Matic S, Stamatovic N, Vojvodic D. CD14 and TNFalpha single nucleotide polymorphisms are candidates for genetic biomarkers of peri-implantitis. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2015;19:791–801.
150. Canullo L, Tallarico M, Radovanovic S, Delibasic B, Covani U, Rakic M. Distinguishing predictive profiles for patient-based risk assessment and diagnostics of plaque induced, surgically and prosthetically triggered peri-implantitis. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2016;27:1243–1250.
151. Schwarz F, Sahm N, Becker J. Impact of the outcome of guided bone regeneration in dehiscence-type defects on the long-term stability of peri-implant health: clinical observations at 4 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2012;23:191–196.
152. Kozlovsky A, Tal H, Laufer BZ, et al. Impact of implant overloading on the peri-implant bone in inflamed and non-inflamed peri-implant mucosa. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2007;18:601–610.
153. Gotfredsen K, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Bone reactions at implants subjected to experimental peri-implantitis and static load. A study in the dog. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2002;29:144–151.
154. Heitz-Mayfield LJ, Schmid B, Weigel C, et al. Does excessive occlusal load affect osseointegration? An experimental study in the dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2004;15:259–268.
155. Fretwurst T, Buzanich G, Nahles S, Woelber JP, Riesemeier H, Nelson K. Metal elements in tissue with dental peri-implantitis: a pilot study. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2016;27:1178–1186.
156. Olmedo DG, Nalli G, Verdu S, Paparella ML, Cabrini RL. Exfoliative cytology and titanium dental implants: a pilot study. *J Periodontol.* 2013;84:78–83.
157. Bashutski JD, D'Silva NJ, Wang HL. Implant compression necrosis: current understanding and case report. *J Periodontol.* 2009;80:700–704.
158. Trisi P, Berardini M, Falco A, Podaliri Vulpiani M, Perfetti G. Insufficient irrigation induces peri-implant bone resorption: an in vivo histologic analysis in sheep. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2014;25:696–701.
159. Eriksson AR, Albrektsson T, Albrektsson B. Heat caused by drilling cortical bone. Temperature measured in vivo in patients and animals. *Acta Orthop Scand.* 1984;55:629–631.
160. Trisi P, Perfetti G, Baldoni E, Berardi D, Colagiovanni M, Scogna G. Implant micromotion is related to peak insertion torque and bone density. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2009;20:467–471.
161. Sridhar S, Abidi Z, Wilson TG, Jr., et al. In vitro evaluation of the effects of multiple oral factors on dental implants surfaces. *J Oral Implantol.* 2016;42:248–257.
162. Suarez-Lopez Del Amo F, Lin GH, Monje A, Galindo-Moreno P, Wang HL. Influence of soft tissue thickness upon peri-implant marginal bone loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Periodontol.* 2016;87:690–699.
163. de Brando ML, Vettore MV, Vidigal Junior GM. Peri-implant bone loss in cement- and screw-retained prostheses: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2013;40:287–295.
164. Schwarz F, Hegewald A, Becker J. Impact of implant-abutment connection and positioning of the machined collar/microgap on crestal bone level changes: a systematic review. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2014;25:417–425.
165. Monje A, Galindo-Moreno P, Tozum TF, Suarez-Lopez del Amo F, Wang HL. Into the paradigm of local factors as contributors for peri-implant disease: short communication. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2016;31:288–292.
166. Cecchinato D, Parpaiola A, Lindhe J. Mucosal inflammation and incidence of crestal bone loss among implant patients: a 10-year study. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2014;25:791–796.
167. Cecchinato D, Parpaiola A, Lindhe J. A cross-sectional study on the prevalence of marginal bone loss among implant patients. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2013;24:87–90.

**How to cite this article:** Schwarz F, Derks J, Monje A, Wang H-L. Peri-implantitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2018;45(Suppl 20):S246–S266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.12954>